

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re: City of Detroit, Michigan, Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Chapter 9

**CITY OF DETROIT’S OBJECTION TO M. DUJON JOHNSON’S MOTION TO
REINSTATE SECURED CLAIM**

The City of Detroit, Michigan (“City”) by its undersigned counsel, files this Objection to M. Dujon Johnson’s (“Johnson”) *Motion to Reinstate Secured Claim* (“Motion,” Doc. No. 10716). In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

I. Johnson’s Claim

On October 29, 2013, Johnson filed a letter with the Court. **Exhibit 1.** In the letter, Johnson asserts that he currently has a “judgment against the City of Detroit (parking division) for \$5,300.00 due to their illegally towing, and the auctioning, of my vehicle... I am asking the court to grant me the status of a secured creditor.” Johnson did not identify any collateral securing his alleged claim. The Clerk of the Court treated the letter as a proof of claim which asserted a secured claim in the amount of \$5,300. This letter was forwarded to the City’s claims and noticing agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants (“KCC”), and assigned claim number 117 (“Claim No. 117”). At the time of the filing of Claim No. 117, Johnson indicated that he was residing in the People’s Republic of China.

The second claim filed by Johnson was filed on a Proof of Claim form with KCC on February 14, 2014 and was assigned claim number 1032 (“Claim No. 1032”). **Exhibit 2.** Claim No. 1032 is also in the amount of \$5,300 but it does not assert a secured claim. On Claim No. 1032, Johnson lists his address as: 15474 Stoepel, Detroit, MI 48238 (“Stoepel Address”).

Further, on October 3, 2014, Johnson filed a letter with the Court stating that he no longer resided in the People's Republic of China and that his mailing address was the Stoepel Address. (Doc. No. 7978).

II. The City's Objection to the Duplicate Claim.

On February 13, 2015, the City filed its Eleventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Duplicate Claims) ("Eleventh Omnibus Objection," Doc. No. 9263), requesting that Claim No. 117 be expunged as a duplicative claim. On the same date, KCC filed a Certificate of Service ("Certificate of Service," Doc. No. 9281) stating that it served a copy of the Eleventh Omnibus Objection upon Johnson, via first class mail, at his Stoepel Address.

No response to the Eleventh Omnibus Objection was filed with the Court or received by the City. On April 7, 2015, the City filed the Certificate of No Response to Debtor's Eleventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims. (Doc. No. 9636). On April 10, 2015, this Court entered the Order Sustaining Debtor's Eleventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims which disallowed and expunged Claim No. 117 but left Claim No. 1032 as a surviving unsecured claim. (Doc. No. 9653)

III. Johnson's Subsequent Letters and Motion

On August 19, 2015, Johnson filed a letter with the Court that is substantially identical to the letter attached to the Motion ("August 2015 Letter"). (Docket No. 10148). The August 2015 Letter is dated August 1, 2015, and asserts that Johnson did not receive notice of the Eleventh Omnibus Objection. On August 21, 2015, the Court issued a Notice of Deficient Filing with respect to the August 2015 Letter. (Docket No. 10151). The Notice of Deficient Filing stated the Court may strike the August 2015 Letter from the record if certain deficiencies with respect to the August 2015 Letter were not cured. The deficiencies were not cured.

On December 11, 2015, Johnson filed another letter with the Court that is substantially identical to the letter attached to the Motion. (Docket No. 10704). The Court again issued a Notice of Deficient Filing. (Docket No. 10706).

On December 18, 2015, Johnson filed his Motion with the Court, requesting that his alleged secured claim be reinstated. In the Motion, Johnson states that he would have responded to the City's objection to his Claim No 117, but did not, as he did not receive notice of the Eleventh Omnibus Objection.

ARGUMENT

The Court should deny the Motion because Johnson has failed to establish excusable neglect. As set forth in *Pioneer*, the Court should consider the following factors to determine whether a party's neglect is excusable: (1) the danger of prejudice to the debtor, (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, (3) the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith. *Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Associates Ltd. P'ship*, 507 U.S. 380 (1993)

I. The Danger of Prejudice to the Debtor

Deadlines and bar dates are designed to promote finality and efficient administration of the bankruptcy process. *In re Nat'l Steel Corp.*, 316 B.R. 510, 514 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004). "Case administration in large bankruptcy cases such as this one is more often than not a long and complex road to travel. For this reason, fixing a bar date is not merely a routine step, but rather it promotes efficiency in the reorganization process by promptly identifying claims that the plan must consider for the Debtor and other plan proponents." *Id.* (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). Although a court may extend a bar date or deadline for "excusable neglect," a "pro se litigant[']s failure to timely meet a deadline because of '[i]nadvertence, ignorance of the rules, or mistakes construing the rules do[es] not usually constitute "excusable neglect."'" *In re*

Settlement Facility Dow Corning Trust, No. 08-12019, 2009 WL 4506433 at *2 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 25, 2009) (quoting *Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship*, 507 U.S. 380, 392 (1993)). And, even when a court considers extending a bar date or deadline after it has passed, it will only do so if, in addition to not being prejudicial to the debtor and its bankruptcy case, there is no prejudice on other timely or late filed claims that remain barred. *Settlement Facility Dow Corning Trust*, 2009 WL 4506433 at *2-3.

Over 3,900 proofs of claim were filed against the City. Analyzing, reconciling and otherwise addressing this enormous volume of varied claims has been an overwhelming undertaking for the City. This task will become even more difficult if parties are allowed relief from orders previously entered by this Court. The danger of prejudice to the City is that other parties will seek similar relief if the Motion is granted.

II. The length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings and whether the Movant acted in good faith

The length of the delay is substantial. The Motion was filed over ten months after the Eleventh Omnibus Objection and over eight months after an order was entered granting the Eleventh Omnibus Objection. Johnson provides no reason for the delay. Johnson was aware of the Eleventh Omnibus Objection no later than August 1, 2015, because that is the date of the August 2015 Letter. Yet, Johnson waited for over four months after he was aware that his claim had been expunged to file the Motion.

III. The reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant

The Certificate of Service provides that the Eleventh Omnibus Objection was mailed to Johnson at the Stoepel Address. As the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals held in *Yoder*, “The common law has long recognized a presumption that an item properly mailed was received by

the addressee. The presumption arises upon proof that the item was properly addressed, had sufficient postage, and was deposited in the mail.” *In re Yoder*, 758 F.2d 1114, 1116-1118 (6th Cir. 1985). The presumption is rebutted upon the introduction of evidence which would support a finding of the nonexistence of the presumed fact. *Id.* Johnson has not provided any evidence to support his assertion that he did not receive the Eleventh Omnibus Objection. Thus, the Court should conclude that the Eleventh Omnibus Objection was received by Johnson and that the reason for the delay for was within Johnson’s reasonable control.

CONCLUSION

The City respectfully requests that the Motion be denied.

DATED: January 4, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140)

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND
STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

EXHIBIT 1 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,
Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on January 4, 2016, he served a copy of the foregoing *City Of Detroit's Objection to M. Dujon Johnson's Motion To Reinstate Secured Claim* as listed below, via First Class United States Mail:

M. Dujon Johnson
15474 Stoepel
Detroit, MI 48238

DATED: January 4, 2016

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 496-7591
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451
swansonm@millercanfield.com

Hon. Stephen J. Rhodes
Theodore Levin Building
231 W. Lafayette
Detroit, Michigan 48224

September 23, 2013

Dear Honorable Rhodes:

I have recently become aware that a deadline recently passed for those opposing the bankruptcy filing by the City of Detroit. I do not oppose such a filing by the City of Detroit but I currently have a judgment against the City of Detroit (parking division) for \$5,300.00 due to their illegally towing, and the auctioning, of my vehicle. The City of Detroit's position (pre bankruptcy) was that they did not believe that I knew how to collect so their attitude was 'catch us if you can.' Now the City of Detroit stated position to me is 'now we are in bankruptcy...too bad!' The City of Detroit has filed a stay with the 36th district court regarding this judgment against them due to the bankruptcy filing and it has been granted by that court.

The towing of my personal vehicle has caused me great hardship and I know of at least two positions of employment that I could not accept due to my lack of transportation. The hardship has been so severe that I have had to take a job in the People's Republic of China teaching English for \$913.00 per month just to support my family. I am asking this court to grant me the status of a secured creditor. Because I am currently residing in China it is most likely that this letter will be screened and there will be a delay in your office receiving it; I ask for your forgiveness for the delay in this matter.

Sincerely,


M. Dujon Johnson
mdujon@gmail.com
Chongqing University of Technology
No. 69, Hongguang Avenue, Banan District
Chongqing 400054
PR of China

FILED
2013 OCT 29 P 12: 05
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT



Eastern District of Michigan Claims Register

[13-53846-swr City of Detroit, Michigan](#)

Judge: Steven W. Rhodes **Chapter:** 9
Office: Detroit **Last Date to file claims:**
Trustee: **Last Date to file (Govt):**

Creditor: (22470512) M. Dujon Johnson Chongqing University of Technology No. 69, Hongguang Avenue, Banan District Chongqing 400054 PR of China	Claim No: 23 <i>Original Filed</i> Date: 10/29/2013 <i>Original Entered</i> Date: 11/04/2013	Status: Filed by: CR Entered by: ckata Modified:								
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Amount</td> <td style="width: 15%;">claimed:</td> <td style="width: 15%;">\$5300.00</td> <td style="width: 55%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: yellow;">Secured</td> <td style="background-color: yellow;">claimed:</td> <td style="background-color: yellow;">\$5300.00</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			Amount	claimed:	\$5300.00		Secured	claimed:	\$5300.00	
Amount	claimed:	\$5300.00								
Secured	claimed:	\$5300.00								
History: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Details</td> <td style="width: 10%;">23-1</td> <td style="width: 15%;">10/29/2013</td> <td>Claim #23 filed by M. Dujon Johnson, Amount claimed: \$5300.00 (ckata)</td> </tr> </table>			Details	23-1	10/29/2013	Claim #23 filed by M. Dujon Johnson, Amount claimed: \$5300.00 (ckata)				
Details	23-1	10/29/2013	Claim #23 filed by M. Dujon Johnson, Amount claimed: \$5300.00 (ckata)							
Description: 										
Remarks: 										

Claims Register Summary

Case Name: City of Detroit, Michigan
Case Number: 13-53846-swr
Chapter: 9
Date Filed: 07/18/2013
Total Number Of Claims: 1

Total Amount Claimed*	\$5300.00
Total Amount Allowed*	

*Includes general unsecured claims

The values are reflective of the data entered. Always refer to claim documents for actual amounts.

	Claimed	Allowed
Secured	\$5300.00	
Priority		
Administrative		

PACER Service Center
Transaction Receipt

EXHIBIT 2

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		EASTERN DISTRICT of MICHIGAN		CHAPTER 9 PROOF OF CLAIM	
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan		Case Number: 13-53846			
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense that arises after the bankruptcy filing.					
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): M. DUJON JOHNSON				COURT USE ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if this claim amends a previously filed claim. Court Claim Number: _____ (If known) Filed on: _____	
Name and address where notices should be sent: 15474 STEPEC DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48238					
Telephone number: _____		email: M. DUJON@DETROIT.MI.GOV		<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above): _____					
Telephone number: _____		email: _____		<div style="text-align: center;"> RECEIVED FEB 20 2014 KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANT </div>	
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$ 5300.00					
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a statement that itemizes interest or charges.					
2. Basis for Claim: JUDGEMENT FOR ILLEGAL TOW OF CAR AND AUCTION OF IT. (See instruction #2)					
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: _____			3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as: _____ (See instruction #3a)		
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information.			Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, included in secured claim, if any: \$ _____		
Nature of property or right of setoff: <input type="checkbox"/> Real Estate <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other Describe: _____			Basis for perfection: _____		
Value of Property: \$ _____			Amount of Secured Claim: _____		
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) _____ % <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed or <input type="checkbox"/> Variable			Amount Unsecured: _____		
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2). _____					
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § _____					
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6)					
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. * ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain: _____					
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.					
<input type="checkbox"/> I am the creditor.		<input type="checkbox"/> I am the creditor's authorized agent.		<input type="checkbox"/> I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.)					
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief.					
Print Name: M. DUJON JOHNSON		Signature: _____			
Title: _____		Date: 2/14/14			
Company: _____		Telephone number: _____			
Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above): _____		email: _____			

Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fine of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571.

STATE OF MICHIGAN 36th JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSING DUE TO BANKRUPTCY STAY	CASE NO. 12-202678-GC
--	--	---------------------------------

Court address: 421 Madison Ave, Detroit, MI 48226
 Court telephone no.: (313) 965-6098

NOTE: Do not use this form in domestic relations cases.

Plaintiff name(s) and address(es) M. Dujon Johnson 15474 Stoepel Detroit, MI 48238
Plaintiff(s) attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no. M. DuJon Johnson, In Pro Per 15474 Stoepel Detroit, MI 48238

v

Defendant name(s) and address(es) CITY OF DETROIT 2 Woodward Ave, Suite 500 Detroit, MI 48226
Defendant(s) attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no. MARY BETH COBBS (P40080) 2 WOODWARD AVE, STE. 500 DETROIT, MI 48226 313.237.3075

This case has been stayed in bankruptcy.

Bankruptcy petition no. 13-53846 SWR	United States District Court EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
---	--

IT IS ORDERED:

1. This case is closed for administrative purposes without prejudice.
2. This closing does not constitute a dismissal or a decision on the merits.
3. When the bankruptcy stay has been removed this case may be reopened on motion of any party.

8/26/13
 Date

[Signature] 13990
 Judge Bar no.

ORIGINAL FILED
A TRUE COPY
[Signature]
 DEPUTY CLERK
 36TH DISTRICT COURT

STATE OF MICHIGAN 36TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	REGISTER OF ACTIONS	CASE NO: 12202678GC GC STATUS: CLSD
---	----------------------------	---

Court Address 421 MADISON AVENUE
DETROIT MI 48226
JUDGE OF RECORD: COLEMAN, DONALD,

Court Telephone
(313) 965-5794
P-39982

Attorney

P01 PLAINTIFF (CLSD)
JOHNSON/M/DUJON
15474 STOPEL
DETROIT MI 48238

D01 DEFENDANT (CLSD) P-40080
CITY OF DETROIT PARKING//
1600 W. LAFAYETTE
DETROIT MI 48216
COBBS, MARY BETH,
660 WOODWARD AVE
STE 1800
DETROIT MI 48226
(313) 237-3075

DATE	ACTIONS, JUDGMENTS, CASE NOTES	INITIALS
12/18/12		
P01	AFFDV & CLM FILED \$5,000.00	658
D01	AFFDV & CLM CERTIFIED MAIL - ISSUED	658
ALL	HEARING SMALL CLAIMS SCHEDULED	658
	01/28/13 08:30A	
	FILING FEE PAID \$65.00 RCPT # CH57225	658
	CERTIF. MAIL PAID \$10.30 RCPT # CH57225	658
	CREDIT CARD TENDERED	658
12/20/12		
	P01 ADDRESS ADDED	794
	D01 ADDRESS ADDED	794
01/17/13		
D01	AFFDV & CLM CERTIFIED MAIL - SERVED	794
01/28/13		
ALL	HEARING HELD	047
ALL	HEARING ADJOURNED	047
ALL	HEARING SMALL CLAIMS SCHEDULED	047
	04/10/13 08:30A	
	CASE CALLED. PARTIES IN COURT. COPIES GIVEN.	047
	PARTIES TO GET ADD'L DOC.S FOR THEIR POSITIONS	047
	PLAINTIFF TO FILE BRIEF BY END OF FEB. 2013.	047
	DEFENDANT TO SUBMIT BY MARCH 22, 2013.	047
	MAGISTRATE LAURA A. ECHARTEA/APAYTON FILE	047
	RETURNED TO SMALL CLAIMS	047
01/29/13		
	JUDGE OF RECORD/MAGISTRATE CHANGED	047
	FROM: 00997 SMALL CLAIMS, SC	047
	TO: 41489 ECHARTEA, LAURA A	047
04/09/13		
D01	JUDGMENT SMALL CLAIMS ISSUED	001
04/10/13		
	D01 PREV. 1600 W. LAFAYETTE	078
	ADDR:	078
ALL	ORDER FOR REMOVAL TO GEN CIVIL ENTERED	078
	CASE CALLED, BOTH PARTIES APPEARED.	078

DATE	ACTIONS, JUDGMENTS, CASE NOTES	INITIALS
	THIS DEMAND IS MADE BY THE PLAINTIFF. IT IS ORDERED: THIS CASE IS REMOVED TO THE GENERAL CIVIL DIVISION OF THE COURT FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS. THE DEFENDANT SHALL FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER AND SERVE IT WITHIN 14 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ORDER AS PROVIDED IN THE COURT RULE. COPIES GIVEN TO ALL PARTIES. MAGISTRATE SIDNEY BARTHWELL JR./TRACIE CHANEY	078 078 078 078 078 078 078
04/11/13	JUDGE OF RECORD/MAGISTRATE CHANGED FROM: 41489 ECHARTEA, LAURA A TO: 44450 BARTHWELL, SIDNEY FILE RETURNED TO SMALL CLAIMS. TRACIE CHANEY	078 078 078 078
04/12/13	TRANSFERRED FROM CASE # 12202678 CASE CHANGED TO 12-202678GC ANSWER DUE BY 04/25/13/ KARISSA SMITH	788 788 788
04/29/13 D01	DEFAULT ISSUED NO RESPONSE FROM DEFENDANT. DEFAULT MAILED OUT AND THE FILE IS RETURNED TO THE RECORDS ROOM/ KARISSA SMITH	788 788 788 788
05/10/13 P01	DEFAULT FILED	727
05/14/13	DEFAULT JUDGMENT SENT TO JUDGE COLEMAN FOR REVIEW. DEFENDANT FAILED TO RESPOND/ KARISSA SMITH	788 788 788
05/17/13 D01	JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT ENTERED COPIES MAILED. JUDGE DONALD COLEMAN/TRICE FILE SENT TO FILE ROOM.	057 057 057
06/26/13 D01 D01	MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUD ENTERED MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUD REQUESTED BRUCE CLINTON	785 785 785
07/16/13	MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT (DATED 6/26/13) SENT TO JUDGE COLEMAN WITH FILE. BRUCE CLINTON	785 785 785
07/25/13	ORDER FOR BANKRUPTCY FILED. C. LYONS	869
08/21/13 D01 D01	MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUD GRANTED ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUD ENTERED THE MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT IS GRANTED AND THIS MATTER IS SET FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTION TO DISMISS.	057 057 057 057 057
ALL	HEARING TO DISMISS SCHEDULED 09/09/13 10:00A	057
ALL	NOTICE TO APPEAR ISSUED COPIES MAILED. JUDGE DONALD COLEMAN/TRICE FILE IN 436.	057 057 057
D01	APPEARANCE FILED D01 PREV. 1600 W. LAFAYETTE	057 057

\$5,300.00

DATE	ACTIONS, JUDGMENTS, CASE NOTES	INITIALS
	ADDR:	057
08/23/13	ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSING DUE TO BANKRUPTCY STAY SENT TO JUDGE. CARL LYONS	869 869
08/26/13	ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSING DUE TO BANKRUPTCY STAY	057 057
D01	ORDER FOR BANKRUPTCY STAY RECEIVED AND SIGNED. COPIES MAILED. JUDGE DONALD COLEMAN/TRICE. FILE SENT TO DOCKET DEPARTMENT.	057 057 057
09/04/13	FUTURE CALENDAR DATE(S) REMOVED	057