UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

-----X

:

In re: Chapter 9

•

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, . Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

•

-----X

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JOINT FINAL PRE-TRIAL ORDER

Pursuant to Local Rule 7016-1, (a) movant City of Detroit ("City") and (b) objectors (i) Shirley V. Lightsey, President of the Detroit Retired City Employees Association (the "DRCEA"), (ii) Don Taylor, President of the Retired Detroit Police and Firefighters Association (the "RDPFFA"), (iii) the DRCEA, (iv) the RDPFFA, (v) the Official Committee of Retirees (the "Committee"), (vi) the Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees ("AFSCME"), (vii) the UAW, (viii) the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit, (ix) the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit, (x) the Detroit Public Safety Unions; and (xi) the Retired Detroit Police Members Association (collectively, "Objectors" to the City's July 18, 2013

Eligibility Motion), have conferred and hereby stipulate to entry of the Final Joint Pre-Trial Order attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Dated: October 17, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bruce Bennett
Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
dgheiman@jonesday.com
hlennox@jonesday.com

Jonathan S. Green (MI P33140)
Stephen S. LaPlante (MI P48063)
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK
AND STONE, P.L.C.
150 West Jefferson
Suite 2500
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 963-6420
Facsimile: (313) 496-7500
green@millercanfield.com

laplante@millercanfield.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

/s/ Claude D. Montgomery
Claude D. Montgomery, Esq.
Carole Neville, Esq.
Dentons US LLP
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 768-6700
Facsimile: (212) 768-6800
claude.montgomery@dentons.com

Sam J. Alberts, Esq.
Dentons US LLP
1301 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3364
Telephone: (202) 408-7004
Facsimile: (202) 408-6339
sam.alberts@dentons.com

Matthew E. Wilkins, Esq. (P56697)
Paula A. Hall, Esq. (P61101)
BROOKS WILKINS SHARKEY
& TURCO PLLC
401 South Old Woodward, Suite 400
Birmingham, Michigan 48009
Direct: (248) 971-1711
Cell: (248) 882-8496
Facsimile: (248) 971-1801
wilkins@bwst-law.com
hal@bwst-law.com

Counsel for the Official Committee of Retirees

/s/ D. O'Keefe, Esq.
Brian D. O'Keefe, Esq.
Ryan Plecha, Esq.
Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC
370 E. Maple Road
Third Floor
Birmingham, Michigan 48009
Telephone: (248) 646-8292
Facsimile: (248) 646-8375
bokeefe@lippittokeefe.com

Thomas R. Morris, Esq.
Silverman & Morris, P.L.L.C.
30500 Northwestern Highway, Suite 200
Farmington Hills, Michigan 48334
Telephone: (248) 539-1330
Facsimile: (248) 539-1355
morris@silvermanmorris.com

Counsel for Shirley v. Lightsey, as an individual and as President of the Detroit Retired City Employee Association and for the Detroit Retired City Employee Association and Counsel for Don Taylor, as an individual and as President of the Retired Detroit Police and Firefighters Association and for the Retired Detroit Police and Firefighters Association

/s/ Babette Ceccotti

Babette Ceccotti, Esq.
Bruce Levine, Esq.
Cohen, Weiss and Simon LLP
330 West 42nd Street
New York, New York 10036-6979
Telephone: (212) 356-0229

Telephone: (212) 356-0229 Facsimile: (646) 473-8229

bceccotti@cwsny.com

Counsel for the UAW

/s/ William A. Wertheimer Law Office of William A. Wertheimer 30515 Timberbrook Lane Bingham Farms, Michigan 48025 Telephone: (248) 644-9200 billwertheimer@gmail.com

Counsel for the *Flowers* Plaintiffs

/s/ Barbara A. Patek
Barbara A. Patek
Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker
& Freedman, P.C.
400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444
Southfield, Michigan 48034
Telephone: (248) 827-4100

Facsimile: (248) 827-4106 bpatek@ermanteicher.com

Consel for The Detroit Public Safety Unions

/s/ Sharon L. Levine Sharon L. Levine, Esq. John K. Sherwood, Esq. Lowenstein Sandler LLP 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068 Telephone: (973) 597-6246 Facsimile: (973) 597-6247 pgross@lowenstein.com

Counsel for AFSCME

/s/ Lynn Brimer
Lynn Brimer, Esq.
300 East Long Lake Road
Suite 200
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
Telephone: (248) 540-2300
Facsimile: (248) 645-2690
lbrimer@stroblpc.com

Counsel for Retired Detroit Police Members Association

/s/ Robert D. Gordon Robert D. Gordon, Esq. 151 S. Old Woodward, Suite 200 Birmingham, Michigan 48009 Telephone: (248) 642-9692 Facsimile: (248) 642-2174

email@clarkhill.com

Counsel for Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and The General Retirement System of the City of Detroit

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

-----X

:

In re: : Chapter 9

.

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, . Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon, Steven W. Rhodes

:

-----X

JOINT FINAL PRE-TRIAL ORDER

Pursuant to Local Rule 7016-1, (a) movant City of Detroit ("City") and (b) objectors (i) Shirley V. Lightsey, President of the Detroit Retired City Employees Association (the "DRCEA"), (ii) Don Taylor, President of the Retired Detroit Police and Firefighters Association (the "RDPFFA"), (iii) the DRCEA, (iv) the RDPFFA, (v) the Official Committee of Retirees (the "Committee"), (vi) the Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees ("AFSCME"), (vii) the UAW, (viii) the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit, (ix) the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit, (x) the Detroit Public Safety Unions; and (xi) the Retired Detroit Police Members Association to the City's July 18, 2013 Motion ("Eligibility Motion"),

have conferred and hereby submit the following Joint Final Pretrial Order for this Court's approval and adoption.

I. JURISDICTION

A. City of Detroit

The City asserts that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2). Venue for this matter is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

B. Objectors

The Objectors assert that this Court lacks the authority and jurisdiction to decide whether chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* (the "Bankruptcy Code") violates the Constitution or to determine the constitutionality of PA 436, the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, M.C.L. §141.1541, *et seq.* ("PA 436"). Accordingly, and with respect, this Court should immediately refer this constitutional challenge to chapter 9 and PA 436 to the District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

II. STATEMENT OF CITY'S CLAIMS

The City of Detroit asserts that it qualifies to be a debtor under Section 109(c) of Title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and meets all of the eligibility requirements to seek debt relief under Chapter 9.

The City is a municipality as such term is defined in Section 101(40) of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 101(40). The City is a "political subdivision" of the State of Michigan and thus a "municipality" within the meaning of Section 101(40), and the eligibility requirement of section 109(c)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code is satisfied.

The City is specifically authorized in its capacity as a municipality to be a debtor under Chapter 9 under the laws of the State of Michigan and by the appropriate state officers empowered thereby, as contemplated by Section 109(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. On July 16, 2013 Kevyn D. Orr, the duly appointed Emergency Manager for the City (the "Emergency Manager"), based on his assessment of the City's financial condition recommended to Richard Snyder, Governor of the State of Michigan, and Andrew Dillon, Treasurer of the State of Michigan, that the City be authorized to proceed under Chapter 9. On July 18, 2013, the Governor issued his written decision approving the Emergency Manager's recommendation to seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. Pursuant thereto, also on July 18, 2013, the Emergency Manager issued an order approving the filing of the City's Chapter 9 case consistent with the Governor's authorization.

The City is insolvent within the meaning of Section 101(32)(C) of the Bankruptcy Code. The City therefore meets the eligibility requirement of Section 109(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

The City desires to effect a plan of adjustment under Section 109(c)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

The City is unable to negotiate (or further negotiate) with its creditors because such negotiation is impracticable. The City has nevertheless negotiated in good faith with creditors who are represented and organized, but has failed to obtain the agreement of creditors holding at least a majority in amount of the claims of each class that the City intends to impair under a plan of adjustment in this Chapter 9 case.

III. STATEMENT OF OBJECTORS' CLAIMS

A. The Committee asserts the following claims:

- 1. The City cannot meet the criteria for eligibility under Section 109(c)(5)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code, in that it did not put forth a plan of adjustment, and did not negotiate in good faith, both as required under that Section.
- 2. The City cannot establish that negotiations were impracticable under Section 109(c)(5)(C) of the Bankruptcy Code, in that the City failed to set forth a plan of adjustment, and did not negotiate in good faith with classes of

creditors with whom negotiations were practicable, both as required under that Section.

- 3. Because the Governor's authorization to file this bankruptcy case did not prohibit the City from impairing the pension rights of its employees and retirees, the authorization was not valid under the Michigan Constitution, as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 4. The City cannot meet its burden under Section 921(c) of demonstrating that it filed its Chapter 9 petition in good faith, in that (a) the Emergency Manager commenced this proceeding for the purpose of using Chapter 9 as a vehicle to attempt to impair and violate rights relating to vested pensions that are explicitly protected under Article IX, Section 24, of the Michigan Constitution (the "Pension Clause") and (b) in connection with its petition, the City made representations that were inaccurate, misleading and/or incomplete.
 - B. The Detroit Public Safety Unions, consisting of the Detroit Fire Fighters Association (the "DFFA"), the Detroit Police Officers Association (the "DPOA"), the Detroit Police Lieutenants & Sergeants Association (the "DPLSA") and the Detroit Police Command Officers Association (the "DPCOA") assert the following claims:
- 1. The City failed to negotiate with the Detroit Public Safety Unions in good faith, as required by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(B).

- 2. Michigan Public Act 436 of 2012 violates the Michigan Constitution and therefore the City was not validly authorized to file this bankruptcy case as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 3. Because the Governor's authorization to file this bankruptcy case did not prohibit the City from impairing the pension rights of its employees and retirees, the authorization was not valid under the Michigan Constitution, as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 4. Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code violates the 10th Amendment of the United States Constitution, U.S. Const., Am. X, to the extent it can be read to authorize the City it impair the vested pension rights of City employees in violation of the Michigan Constitution.
- 5. The city was not "unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation in impracticable," as required (in the alternative) for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(C).
- 6. The City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. §921(c).

- C. The Retiree Association Parties, consisting of the Retired Detroit Police & Fire Fighters Association ("RDPFFA"), Donald Taylor, individually and as President of the RDPFFA, the Detroit Retired City Employees Association ("DRCEA"), and Shirley V. Lightsey, individually and as President of the DRCEA assert the following claims:
- 1. The City failed to negotiate with the Retiree Association Parties in good faith, as required by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(B).
- 2. The City was not "unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation is impracticable," as required (in the alternative) for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C).
- 3. Negotiations with the retiree constituents was practicable, as the DRCEA and the RDPFFA were ready, willing, and able to negotiate with the City as natural representatives of retirees.
- 4. Because the Governor's authorization to file this bankruptcy case did not prohibit the City from impairing the pension rights of its employees and retirees, the authorization was not valid under the Michigan Constitution, as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 5. The City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. §921(c).

D. UAW and the *Flowers* Plaintiffs assert the following claims:

The UAW and the Plaintiffs claim that the City of Detroit is not eligible for bankruptcy under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code for the reasons set forth in the

Amended Joint Objection of International Union, UAW and the Flowers Plaintiffs to the City of Detroit, Michigan's Eligibility for an Order for Relief Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code [DE 1170], the Objection of International Union, UAW to the City of Detroit, Michigan's Eligibility for an Order for Relief Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code [DE 506] (to the extent such Objection is not superseded by DE 1170),theObjection of Robbie Flowers, Michael Wells, Janet Whitson, Mary Washington and Bruce Goldman to the Putative Debtor's Eligibility to be a Debtor [DE 504],and the Pre-Trial Brief of International Union, UAW and the Flowers Plaintiffs with Respect to the Eligibility of the City of Detroit, Michigan for an Order for Relief Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code [filed October 17, 2013].

- E. The Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO and Sub-Chapter 98, City of Detroit Retirees ("AFSCME") assert, in addition to and including herein by reference, the claims raised in this order, in filed pleadings, oral argument and adduced through evidence at trial, assert the following claims:
- 1. Chapter 9 violates the United States Constitution and AFSCME's active and retired members have individual standing to assert that chapter 9 violates the Constitution.
- 2. The City is not eligible to file for chapter 9 protection under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c) because (i) it is not authorized by Michigan State Law or the Michigan Constitution to be a Debtor under chapter 9, and (ii) the law purporting

to authorize the City to file chapter 9 - PA 436 - is unconstitutional including, without limitation, because it violates the strong home rule provisions of the Michigan Constitution.

- 3. The City is not eligible to file for chapter 9 protection under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code because (i) it failed to participate in any good faith negotiations with creditors such as AFSCME prior to the filing for bankruptcy, and (ii) such negotiations were not impracticable, as required for eligibility under chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. The City's Petition should be dismissed under 11 U.S.C. § 921(c) because it was filed in bad faith.
- 5. The City has failed to meet its burden of proving its insolvency as require under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(3).
 - F. The Retired Detroit Police Members Association (RDPMA) assert, in addition to and including herein by reference, the claims raised in this order by the other objectors, the claims set forth in pleadings, raised in oral argument and adduced through evidence presented at trial, assert the following claims:
- 1. The City of Detroit is not eligible for relief under Chapter 9 pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code because it is not authorized under Michigan State Law and the Constitution of the State of Michigan to be a debtor under Chapter 9.

- 2. Public Act 436 was passed in derogation of the right of referendum set forth in Article II Section 9 of the Michigan Constitution and is therefore unconstitutional under Michigan Law.
- 3. Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr was not authorized by Public Act 436 to file the instant Chapter 9 proceeding on behalf of the City of Detroit.
- 4. RDPMA's Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the March 2, 2012 1:35:25 PM Email from Jeffrey B. Ellman to Corinne Ball and copying Heather Lennox and Thomas Wilson.
- 5. RDPMA's Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the March 3, 2012 4:00:44 PM Email from Heather Lennox to Andy Dillon and copying Corinne Ball, Hugh Sawyer, Jeffrey Ellman, Ken Buckfire, Kyle Herman, Laura Marcero, Sanjay Marken, BromStibitz, Stuart Erickson, David Kates and Thomas Wilson.
- 6. RDPMA's Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the State of Michigan, Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012.
- 7. RDPMA's Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the January 31, 2013 3:45:47 PM Email from Kevyn Orr to Corinne Ball and copying Stephen Brogan.
 - G. The Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit ("PRFS") and the General Retirement System of the City of

Detroit ("GRS" and together with PFRS, the "Retirement Systems") assert the following claims.

- 1. The City is not specifically authorized to be a debtor under chapter 9 by State law or a by a governmental officer empowered by State law to authorize such entity to be a debtor under such chapter and cannot satisfy 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(2).
- 2. The City cannot meet its burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(B) because it did not engage in good faith negotiations with its creditors.
- 3. The City cannot meet its burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C) because it did not negotiate with its creditors and negotiations were not impracticable.
- 4. The City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because the City did not file the petition in good faith as required by 11 U.S.C. § 921(c).

IV. STIPULATED FACTS

- 1. The City of Detroit is a municipality for purposes of Section 109(c)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 2. On March 15, 2013 the Local Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board created by the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, MCL §§ 141.931-141.942, appointed Kevyn D. Orr to the position of "emergency financial manager" for the City of Detroit.

- Mr. Orr formally took office as Emergency Manager on March 25,
 2013.
- 4. A meeting took place in Detroit on June 14, 2013 between the Emergency Manager and the City's advisors, on the one hand, and numerous creditor representatives, on the other, relating to the City's creditor proposal. Representatives of all Objectors except the Retiree Committee, which had not yet formed, attended the meeting.
- 5. City's Exhibit 42 is a true and correct copy of a list of persons and corporate affiliations who responded that they would attend the June 14, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such responses, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 6. A meeting took place in Detroit on the morning of June 20, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and non-uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on the other, relating to retiree health and pension obligations. Representatives and advisors the General Retirement System ("GRS") also attended the meeting.
- 7. A second, separate meeting took place in Detroit in the afternoon of June 20, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on

the other, relating to retiree health and pension obligations. Representatives and advisors from the PFRS also attended the meeting.

- 8. City's Exhibit 45 is a true and correct copy of a list of persons and corporate affiliations who were invited to attend at least one of the two June 20, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such invitations, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 9. City's Exhibit 46 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the morning June 20, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 10. City's Exhibit 47 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the afternoon June 20, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 11. A meeting took place on June 25, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and representatives and advisors from the City's six bond insurers and U.S. Bank, the trustee or paying agent on all of the City's bond issuances.

 Representatives from Objectors GRS and PFRS also attended the meeting.

- 12. City's Exhibit 50 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet and typewritten transcription thereof for the June 25, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 13. Meetings took place in Detroit on July 9 and 10, 2013 with representatives from certain bond insurers and Objectors GRS and PFRS relating to follow-up due diligence on the City's financial condition and creditor proposal.
- 14. City's Exhibit 53 is a true and correct copy of a typewritten attendance sheet for the July 9 and 10, 2013 creditor meetings in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 15. A meeting took place in the afternoon of July 10, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and non-uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on the other, relating to pension funding and related matters. Representatives and/or advisors from Objectors UAW, DRCEA, AFSCME, and GRS attended the meeting.
- 16. City's Exhibit 56 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the first July 10, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.

- 17. A second, separate meeting took place in the afternoon of July 10, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on the other, relating to pension funding and related matters. Representatives and/or advisors from Objectors DFFA, DPLSA, DPCOA, DPOA, RDPFFA, and PFRS attended the meeting.
- 18. City's Exhibit 57 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the second July 10, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 19. A meeting took place on the morning of July 11, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and non-uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on the other, relating to retiree health issues and related matters. Representatives and/or advisors from Objectors UAW, DRCEA, AFSCME, and GRS attended the meeting.
- 20. City's Exhibit 58 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the morning July 11, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.

- 21. A second, separate meeting took place in the afternoon of July 11, 2013 between the City's advisors, on the one hand, and uniformed employee representatives from the City's unions and four retiree associations, on the other, relating to retiree health issues and related matters. Representatives and/or advisors from Objectors DFFA, DPLSA, DPOA, RDPFFA, and PFRS attended the meeting.
- 22. City's Exhibit 59 is a true and correct copy of the sign-in sheet for the afternoon July 11, 2013 creditor meeting in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such attendance, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 23. City's Exhibit 55 is a true and correct copy of a list of persons and corporate affiliations who were invited to attend one or more of the July 10 and 11, 2013 creditor meetings in Detroit and is admissible as proof of such invitations, without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual attendance.
- 24. City's Exhibit 35 is a true and correct copy of a non-exclusive log of creditor meetings or communications between the City and various creditors or creditor representatives and is admissible as evidence that such meetings or communications took place between the individuals or entities reflected thereon.

 This stipulation is without prejudice to the City's right to offer evidence that

additional persons attended such meetings or that additional meetings took place, and is without prejudice to any individual Objectors' right to offer evidence as to its actual participation or attendance.

- 25. On July 16, 2013, the Emergency Manager sent a letter to the Governor, recommending a Chapter 9 proceeding pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436.
- 26. On July 18, 2013, the Governor sent a reply letter to the Emergency Manager authorizing the City to file it voluntary petition for protection under Chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code.
- 27. The City filed its voluntary petition for protection under Chapter 9 on July 18, 2013.
- 28. On August 2, 2013, the City held a meeting with local union representatives respecting active employee health insurance.
- 29. On September 13, 2013 the City filed the City of Detroit, Michigan's Objections and Responses to Detroit Retirement Systems' First Requests for Admission Directed to the City of Detroit Michigan [Docket No. 849], in which the City "[a]dmit[s] that the City intends to seek to diminish or impair the Accrued Financial Benefits of the participants in the Retirement Systems through this Chapter 9 Case."

- 30. The representatives of the DFFA, DPOA, DPLSA and DPCOA, respectively, have authority to negotiate wages and benefits for the active employee members of the respective Detroit Public Safety Unions.
- 31. Each of the respective Detroit Public Safety Unions represents the active employees of each of the DFFA, DPOA, DPLSA and DPCOA.

V. ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW TO BE LITIGATED

A. City's Position

The City identifies the following issues of fact and law to be litigated:

- 1. Whether the City was generally not paying its debts as they become due.
 - a. City's authority
 - (1) 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(3).
 - (2) 11 U.S.C. § 101(32)(C)(i).
 - (3) In re New York City Off-Track Betting Corp., 427 B.R. 256, 272 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) (finding deferral of current payments evidence of debtor's insolvency).
- 2. Whether the City was unable to pay its debts as they become due.
 - a. City's authority
 - (1) 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(3).
 - (2) 11 U.S.C. § 101(32)(C)(ii).

- (3) In re City of Stockton, 493 B.R. 772, 788-90 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2013) (test for cash insolvency is prospective; demonstration of cash insolvency within current or succeeding fiscal year satisfies cash flow test; concepts of "budget insolvency" and "service delivery insolvency" inform inquiry into "cash insolvency").
- (4) In re City of Bridgeport, 129 B.R. 332, 336-38 (Bankr. D. Conn. 1991) (test for municipal insolvency set forth at 11 U.S.C. § 101(32)(C)(ii) is a "cash flow" test; "[T]o be found insolvent a city must prove that it will be unable to pay its debts as they become due in its current fiscal year or, based on an adopted budget, in its next fiscal year.").
- (5) Int'l Ass'n of Firefighters, Local 1186 v. City of Vallejo (In re City of Vallejo), 408 B.R. 280, 293-94 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2009) (a municipality need not pursue all possible means of generating and conserving cash prior to seeking chapter 9 relief; affirming finding of insolvency where raiding city's other funds to satisfy short term cash needs "would leave Vallejo more debilitated tomorrow than it is today"; finding city insolvent where further funding reductions would threaten its ability to provide for the basic health and safety of its citizens).
- (6) New York City Off-Track Betting Corp., 427 B.R. at 282 ("Even assuming [the debtor] could have theoretically done more to avoid bankruptcy, courts do not require chapter 9 debtors to exhaust every possible option before filing for chapter 9 protection.").
- 3. Whether the City desires to effect a plan to adjust its debts.
 - a. City's authority

- (1) 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(4).
- (2) New York City Off-Track Betting Corp., 427 B.R. at 272 ("no bright-line test for determining whether a debtor desires to effect a plan" exists because of the "highly subjective nature of the inquiry").
- (3) City of Vallejo, 408 B.R. at 294-95 (A putative debtor need only show that the "purpose of the filing of the chapter 9 petition [is] not simply ... to buy time or evade creditors"; a municipality may meet the subjective eligibility requirement of section 109(c)(4) by attempting to resolve claims, submitting a draft plan or producing other direct or circumstantial evidence customarily submitted to show intent).
- (4) City of Stockton, 493 B.R. at 791-92 (fact that a city would be left in worse financial condition as a result of the decision not to attempt to adjust its debts through the chapter 9 process is persuasive evidence of the municipality's honest desire to effect such an adjustment of debt).
- 4. Whether the City was unable to negotiate with its creditors prior to the filing of its chapter 9 petition because such negotiation was impracticable.
 - a. City's authority
 - (1) 11 U.S.C. \S 109(c)(5)(C).
 - (2) New York City Off-Track Betting Corp., 427 B.R. at 276-77 ("Congress added [11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C)] to satisfy section 109's negotiation requirement in response to possible large municipality bankruptcy cases that could involve vast numbers of creditors."; "[I]mpracticability of

- negotiations is a fact-sensitive inquiry that depends upon the circumstances of the case.") (quotation omitted).
- (3) In re Cnty. of Orange, 183 B.R. 594, 607 n.3 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1995) ("Section 109(c)(5)(C) was necessary because it was otherwise impossible for a large municipality, such as New York, to identify all creditors, form the proper committees, and obtain the necessary consent in a short period of time.").
- (4) City of Vallejo, 408 B.R. at 298 ("Petitioners may demonstrate impracticability by the sheer number of their creditors"; finding that section 109(c)(5)(C) is satisfied where negotiation with any significant creditor constituency is impracticable).
- (5) City of Stockton, 493 B.R. at 794 (finding that the inability of a municipal debtor to negotiate with a natural representative of a numerous and far-flung creditor class (with the power to bind such class) may satisfy the "impracticability" requirement; refusal of creditors to negotiate establishes independent grounds for a finding of impracticability).
- (6) In re Valley Health Sys., 383 B.R. 156, 163 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2008) ("Negotiations may also be impracticable when a municipality must act to preserve its assets and a delay in filing to negotiate with creditors risks a significant loss of those assets.").
- 5. Whether the City negotiated in good faith with creditors holding at least a majority in amount of the claims of each class that the City intends to impair pursuant to a plan of adjustment.

- a. City's authority
 - (1) 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(B).
 - (2) In re Vills. at Castle Rock Metro. Dist. No. 4, 145 B.R. 76, 84-85 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1990) (a municipality need not negotiate with every creditor within a given class; negotiations with large or prominent blocs of creditors will suffice to render a city eligible for chapter 9 relief; municipality satisfied requirement of negotiating with creditors by consulting with large institutional bondholders, even though all series of bonds were not invited to participate in negotiations).
 - (3) New York City Off-Track Betting Corp., 427 B.R. at 274-75 (finding that debtor had satisfied section 109(c)(5)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code where it had "engaged in negotiations with creditors regarding the possible terms of a reorganization plan prior to filing"; stating that "talks need not involve a formal plan to satisfy section 109(c)(5)(B)'s negotiation requirement.").
 - (4) City of Vallejo, 408 B.R. at 297 (noting that section 109(c)(5)(B) is satisfied where the debtor conducts "negotiations with creditors revolving around a proposed plan, at least in concept.... [that] designates classes of creditors and their treatment....").
- 6. Whether the City's petition was filed in good faith within the meaning of section 921(c) of the Bankruptcy Code.
 - a. City's authority
 - (1) 11 U.S.C. § 921(c).
 - (2) *City of Stockton*, 493 B.R. at 794 (good faith "is assessed on a case-by-case basis in light of all the facts, which must be balanced against the broad

remedial purpose of chapter 9"; "[r]elevant considerations in the comprehensive analysis for § 921 good faith include whether the City's financial problems are of a nature contemplated by chapter 9, whether the reasons for filing are consistent with chapter 9, the extent of the City's prepetition efforts to address the issues, the extent that alternatives to chapter 9 were considered, and whether the City's residents would be prejudiced by denying chapter 9 relief.").

- (3) Cnty. of Orange, 183 B.R. at 608 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1995) ("[T]he purpose of the filing must be to achieve objectives within the legitimate scope of the bankruptcy laws;" applying chapter 11 case law and finding the debtor's financial condition and motives, local financial realities and whether the debtor was seeking to "unreasonably deter and harass its creditors or attempting to effect a speedy, efficient reorganization on a feasible basis" as relevant factors in the good faith analysis).
- (4) In re McCurtain Municipal Auth., No. 07-80363, 2007 WL 4287604, at *5 (Bankr. E.D. Okla. Dec. 4, 2007) (holding that the existence of a factor precipitating a chapter 9 filing does not require a finding that the debtor's filing was made in bad faith when other reasons for filing bankruptcy are present).

B. Objectors' position

B-1. The Committee identifies the following issues of fact and law to be litigated:

1. Whether the City can meet the criteria for eligibility under Section 109(c)(5)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code and, in particular:

- a. whether the City presented a plan of adjustment to the City's creditors as is required under Section 109(c)(5)(B); and
- b. whether the City negotiated in good faith as is required under Section 109(c)(5)(B).
- 2. Whether the City can establish that good faith negotiations were impracticable under Section 109(c)(5)(C) of the Bankruptcy Code and, in particular:
 - a. whether the City presented a plan of adjustment to the City's creditors as is required under Section 109(c)(5)(C); and
 - b. whether the City negotiated in good faith with classes of creditors with whom negotiations were practicable, as is as required under Section 109(c)(5)(C).
- 3. Whether the Governor's authorization to file this bankruptcy case is void and/or unconstitutional under the Michigan Constitution because he did not prohibit the City from impairing the pension rights of its employees and retirees, as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 4. Whether the City can meet its burden under 11. U.S.C. § 921(c) of demonstrating that it filed its Chapter 9 petition in good faith and, in particular:
 - a. whether the City's Emergency Manager filed this Chapter 9 proceeding for the purpose of attempting to use Chapter 9 as a vehicle to impair and violate rights related to vested pensions that are expressly protected from such impairment and violation under the Pension Clause of the Michigan Constitution; and

- b. whether the City, in connection with filing its Chapter 9 petition, made representations that were false, misleading and or incomplete statements, particularly as regards the magnitude of the City's unfunded pension liability, the cash flow available to meet such liability and the availability of substantial additional cash from assets owned by the City that are capable of being monetized.
- B-2. The Detroit Public Safety Unions, consisting of the Detroit Fire Fighters Association (the "DFFA"), the Detroit Police Officers Association (the "DPOA"), the Detroit Police Lieutenants & Sergeants Association (the "DPLSA") and the Detroit Police Command Officers Association (the "DPCOA") assert the following claims:
- 1. Whether the City failed to negotiate with the Detroit Public Safety Unions in good faith, as required by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(B).
- 2. Whether Michigan Public Act 436 of 2012 violates the Michigan Constitution, Art. IX, Sec. 24, and therefore the City was not validly authorized to file this bankruptcy case as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 3. Whether there was valid authorization for the filing of the chapter 9 petition as required by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2), because the Governor's authorization did not prohibit the impairment of the pension rights of the City's employees and retirees, and therefore was not valid under the Michigan Constitution, Art. IX, Sec. 24 (the "Pension Clause").

- 4. Whether chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code violates the Tenth Amendment, U.S. Const., Am. X, to the extent it allows the City to use the Bankruptcy Code to impair the vested pension rights of City employees and retirees in direct violation of the Pension Clause.
- 5. Whether the city was not "unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation in impracticable," as required (in the alternative) for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(C).
- 6. Whether the City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. §921(c).
- B-3. The Retiree Association Parties, consisting of the Retired Detroit

 Police & Fire Fighters Association ("RDPFFA"), Donald Taylor, individually
 and as President of the RDPFFA, the Detroit Retired City Employees

 Association ("DRCEA"), and Shirley V. Lightsey, individually and as

 President of the DRCEA identify the following issues of fact and law to be

 litigated:
- 1. Whether the City failed to negotiate with the Retiree Association Parties in good faith, as required by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(B).
- 2. Whether City was not "unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation is impracticable," as required (in the alternative) for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C).

- 3. Whether negotiations with the retiree constituents was practicable, as the DRCEA and the RDPFFA were ready, willing, and able to negotiate with the City as natural representatives of retirees.
- 4. Whether the Governor's authorization to file this bankruptcy case is void and/or unconstitutional under the Michigan Constitution because he did not prohibit the City from impairing the pension rights of its employees and retirees, as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(2).
- 5. Whether the City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. §921(c).

B-4. The UAW and the Flowers Plaintiffs identify the following factual and legal issues to be litigated:¹

- 1. Whether the City has met the eligibility requirement of Section 109(c)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code that a municipality "desires to effect a plan to adjust such debts" where the City's proposed plan is a plan that cannot be lawfully implemented under state law as required by Section 943(b)(4) and (6) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 2. Whether the City failed to negotiate with the UAW in good faith, as required by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(B).

¹ The issues set forth herein are the UAW's and the Flowers Plaintiffs' principal legal and factual issues to be presented at, or in connection with, the eligibility trial. UAW reserves all of the issues set forth in its Amended Objection which (a) are not listed herein but which may depend upon the resolution of its principal issues set forth above or (b) have been asserted and argued principally by other parties, such as whether the decision in Webster must be applied by the bankruptcy court.

- 3. Whether the City was unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation was impracticable as required (in the alternative) for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. §109(c)(5)(C).
- Whether the City was authorized to be a debtor under Chapter 9 4. as required by 11 U.S.C. Section 109(c)(2), as follows: whether the Governor's authorization was valid under State law, where (a) the City and the Governor manifested an intent to proceed in Chapter 9 in order to reduce the accrued pension rights of the City's employees and retirees, and the accrued pension rights of employees and retirees of the Detroit Public Library; (b) the City and the Governor did so proceed based on such intent of the City and the Governor, which in whole or in part motivated the Governor's authorization for the City's Chapter 9 filing and the City's filing itself; (c) the Governor's authorization did not prohibit the diminishment or impairment of the pension rights of uch persons as a condition of authorizing the Chapter 9 filing; (d) neither the Governor nor the state Legislature werehad authority to act in derogation of Article 9, Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution; and (e) for any and all of the foregoing reasons, the Governor's authorization for the Chapter 9 filing, and the City's filing itself were and are contrary to the Michigan Constitution, Art. 9, Sec. 24.
- 5. Whether the City's bankruptcy petition was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. §921(c).

- 6. Whether, under the U.S. Constitution, Chapter 9 is constitutional as applied to the City's petition where the City does not comply with Article 9, Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.
- B-5. The Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO and Sub-Chapter 98, City of Detroit Retirees ("AFSCME") assert, in addition to and including herein by reference, the claims raised in this order, in filed pleadings, oral argument and adduced through evidence at trial, identifies the following issues of fact and law to be litigated:
- 1. Whether the City failed to negotiate in good faith with creditors as required by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5), including, without limitation:
 - a. Whether the City engaged only in "discussions," which it emphasized were not negotiations.
 - b. Whether the City's June 14, 2013 Restructuring Plan was not open to negotiations, which falls short of the requirements of section 109(c)(5)(B).
 - c. Whether the City refused AFSCME's offers to negotiate.
 - d. Whether the City refused AFSCME's requests for adequate backup data used to generate the City's financial assumptions, which would have been necessary information for any "negotiations."
 - e. Whether the City's refusal to negotiate with AFSCME continued post-filing.
 - f. Whether assuming, arguendo, that any negotiations took place, such negotiations did not relate to a plan that was

in the best interests of creditors as required by section 109(c)(5)(B).

- 2. Whether the City can meet its burden of proving that it was "unable to negotiate with creditors because such negotiation is impracticable," as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C), and including, without limitation:
 - a. Whether the circumstances surrounding the City's hiring of the EM, an experienced bankruptcy counsel demonstrate that the City never had any intention of negotiating outside of bankruptcy.
 - b. Whether negotiations with the City's main creditors, the unions, its retirees, and the bond trustees, were practicable.
 - c. Whether the City cannot demonstrate impracticability where the City failed to negotiate with its largest creditors, especially where those creditors have, like AFSCME, sought negotiations.
- 3. Whether the City's bankruptcy petition should be dismissed because it was filed in bad faith under 11 U.S.C. § 921(c), including, without limitation:
 - a. Whether the State authorized (without contingencies) and the City commenced its filing to avoid a bad state court ruling in the Webster litigation, and declined to take action to cease the filing in violation of the Declaratory Judgment issued in that litigation.

- b. Whether the City never intended to negotiate (in good faith or otherwise) and failed to consider reasonable alternatives to chapter 9.
- 4. Whether the City is "insolvent," as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(32)(C)) and as required for eligibility by 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(3), including, without limitation:
 - a. Whether the Cityhas failed to prove its insolvency by expert evidence, by expert testimony, or by anything other than unproven assumptions (including assumptions regarding the unfunded amount of the City's pension and other retiree benefits).
 - b. Whether the City failed to explore options to enable it to pay debts, such as taking into account un-monetized assets and possible funding sources not included in the City's financial projections.
 - c. Whether the City's current financial difficulties are less severe than in prior years, and the City already had means to enhance revenues prior to the filing including the deal reached with the swap counterparties.
- 5. Whether the Governor's authorization to the EM to file for chapter 9 under Section 11 of PA 436 was improper, including, without limitation, because it was invalid, unconstitutional, failed to contain contingencies (such as not using the bankruptcy proceedings to diminish vested pension benefits), and/or failed to require that any plan of adjustment not violate Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.
 - a. Whether the EM's exercise of authority under PA 436 violated the strong home rule provisions of the Michigan Constitution.

- B-6. The Retired Detroit Police Members Association ("RDPMA") assert, in addition to and including herein by reference, the claims raised in this order by the other objectors, the claims set forth in pleadings, raised in oral argument and adduced through evidence presented at trial, identifies the following issues of fact and law to be litigated:
- 1. Whether Public Act 436 violates the Michigan Constitution, Article II, Section 9.
- a. Whether the spending provisions found in Sections 34 and 35 of Public Act 436 were included as an artifice to avoid the referendum provisions in Art. II, Sec. 9 of the Michigan Constitution.
- b. Whether any provisions of Public Act 436 should be stricken on the grounds that such provisions were not approved by a majority of the electors of the State of Michigan in a general election.
- 2. Whether the City of Detroit acted in bad faith when it filed its Chapter 9 Petition having knowledge that Public Act 436 was passed in derogation of the Michigan Constitutional referendum requirement.
- 3. Whether Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr was properly appointed under Public Act 436.
- B-7. The Retirement Systems identify the following issues of fact and law to be litigated:
- 1. Whether the City was validly authorized under State law by a governmental officer empowered by State law to authorize it to be a debtor when

the Governor's authorization was in violation of Article IX, section 24 of the Michigan Constitution, because the authorization did not prohibit the City from diminishing or impairing accrued financial benefits.

- 2. Whether the City failed to negotiate in good faith prepetition with the Retirement Systems (and possibly other creditors), when all meetings with the Retirement Systems (and possibly other creditors) were presentations to an audience of multiple parties at which no bilateral negotiations occurred.
- 3. Whether the City can meet its burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(B).
- 4. Whether negotiations with the Retirement Systems and the City's other creditors were impracticable.
- 5. Whether the City can meet its burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. § 109(c)(5)(C).
- 6. Whether the City can meet its burden of proof under 11 U.S.C. § 921(c) and demonstrate that it filed the bankruptcy petition in good faith when:
 - a. The City filed the case with the intention to diminish and impair accrued financial benefits in violation of Article IX, section 24 of the Michigan Constitution;
 - b. The Emergency Manager repeatedly threatened to file a bankruptcy immediately in the weeks before the filing, thus otherwise creating an environment of impracticability;

- c. As of the petition date, the Emergency Manager and the City did not have a clear picture of the City's assets, income, cash flow, and liabilities;
- d. The City did not even consider a restructuring scenario that did not impair accrued financial benefits; and
- e. Whether the City can demonstrate that it negotiated in good faith under section 109(c)(5) and the case law construing it where the City has admitted it does not have (and therefore did not negotiate) a formulated plan of adjustment.

VI. EVIDENTIARY PROBLEMS LIKELY TO ARISE AT TRIAL

A. City's Position

The City believes that evidentiary disputed likely to arise at trial can be addressed at the pre-trial conference.

B. Objectors' Position

Objectors concur.

VII. WITNESSES

A. City's Witnesses

The City will call the following individuals as part of its case in chief or on rebuttal:

- 1. Kevyn D. Orr
- 2. Kenneth A. Buckfire
- 3. Gaurav Malhotra
- 4. Charles M. Moore
- 5. James E. Craig

The City may call the following individuals as part of its case in chief or on rebuttal:

- 1. Glenn Bowen
- 2. Kyle Herman, Director at Miller Buckfire (only as needed to sponsor City exhibits 99-101)
- 3. Custodial Witnesses. The City Objectors have been conferring as to the authenticity and admissibility of certain exhibits which would otherwise require the appearance in court of a custodial witness. The City reserves the right to call such witnesses if appropriate stipulations are not reached.

The City will call the following witnesses to testify, by deposition, as follows:

1. Richard Baird, October 10, 2013

58:10-12 58:16-19 59:25-60:14 100:13-101:6

4. Treasurer Andrew Dillon, October 10, 2013

34:6-35:10 63:17-20 64:2-5 65:4-24 65:14-6:6 66:19-68:18 68:23-69:22 74:13-77:6 98:13-99:3

5. Governor Richard D. Snyder, October 9, 2013

19:17-21:7 32:14-33:14 46:7-9 46:20-23 51:17-53:16 53:24-55:8 56:9-25 57:23-58:3 65:21-66:1 67:9-14 77:15-78:13 81:21-82:18 82:25-83:8 86:5-25 89:1-8 92:23-93:5 104:14-16 104:22-105:16 104:25-106:17 110:7-12 115:3-8 115:20-116:12 117:3-13 118:3-7 118:12-119:24 122:21-123:22 129:14-130:5 131:10-133:9 134:18-22 142:19-143:4

The City counter designates the following testimony in response to all of Objectors' deposition designations:

1. Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013

11:11-14:13 46:12-47:21

143:13-18

- 47:12-48:21
- 48:23-49:6
- 49:22-50:6
- 54:11-55:20
- 58:17-59:15
- 60:18-61:21
- 61:2-63:5
- 62:16-25
- 65:7-66:9
- 68:1-74:24
- 70:5-71:4
- 71:17-72:2
- 79:2-81:16
- 82:9-84:22
- 86:12-87:2
- 88:13-19
- 88:14-89:18
- 89:25-90:1
- 90:4-91:12

Glen Bowan, September 24, 2013 2.

- 12:7-9
- 18:9-20
- 19:12-20
- 19:21-21:15
- 22:14-23:5
- 23:12-21
- 24:17-22
- 28:10-29:1
- 29:2-30:14
- 33:15-34:7
- 34:8-21
- 35:12-36:4
- 36:10-12
- 40:3-41:12
- 44:11-13
- 43:15-44:8
- 60:13-61:10
- 63:21-64:5

- 66:15-67:22
- 68:17-71:3
- 81:20-83:10
- 91:18-92:13
- 93:4-14
- 93:15-94:2
- 98:13-99:3
- 99:9-17
- 100:18-22
- 111:20-112:22
- 129:14-22
- 130:8-132:11
- 133:10-134:18
- 141:9-17
- 142:8-10
- 142:13-19
- 143:1-6
- 143:8-19
- 146:8-19
- 147:2-148:15
- 148:19-22
- 149:2-3
- 149:6-8
- 150:5-15
- 174:11-176:21
- 177:3-11
- 177:18-178:3
- 177:4-16
- 183:17-185:11
- 192:8-193:11
- 194:4-12
- 194:13-195:10
- 198:5-7
- 198:17-19
- 203:20-204:9
- 204:11-14
- 204:16-19
- 205:7-206:11
- 3. David Bing, October 14, 2013

10:5-10:21 14:22-16:16 18:10-19:4 20:25-21:4 36:10-37:12 58:12-58:16 64:24-65:5 75:12-75:21 101:14-103:11 108:10-108:25 109:6-109:8

The City has not counterdesignated deposition testimony in response to any Objectors' designations from witnesses on the City's will-call witness list because the City will call those witnesses to testify in person at trial. The City nevertheless reserves its rights to offer appropriate counterdesignations in the event that any witness on its will-call list becomes unavailable to testify under Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a).

The City reserves its rights to offer appropriate counterdesignations in response to deposition designations offered by any Objector without reasonable notice to the City prior to the submission of this Joint Final Pre-trial Order.

Given the short time frame within which the City was required to assert objections to Objectors' documents, the City reserves its rights to provide supplemental objections should it need to do so. Similarly, should the same document appear more than once in Objectors' collective exhibit lists, an objection

by the City to any one instance of the exhibit applies to all such copies, even if no objection was indicated for the other copies.

The City reserves its rights to object to deposition testimony offered by any Objector, other than form of the question.

The City reserves its rights to call any witness identified by any Objector.

B. Objectors' Witnesses

Objectors' witnesses are indicated in Attachments A-G.

VIII. EXHIBITS

A. City's Exhibits

- 1. Charter City of Detroit
- 2. Compregensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008
- 3. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009
- 4. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010
- 5. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011
- 6. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012
- 7. November 13, 2012, Memorandum of Understanding City of Detroit reform Program
- 8. July 18, 2013 Declaration of Gaurav Malhotra in Support of the Debtor's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Malhotra Declaration)
- 9. Cash Flow Forecasts

- 10. Ten-Year Projections
- 11. Legacy Expenditures (Assuming No Restructuring)
- 12. Schedule of the sewage disposal system bonds and related state revolving loans as of June 30, 2012
- 13. Schedule of water system bonds and related state revolving loans as of June 30, 2012
- 14. Annual Debt Service on Revenue Bonds
- 15. Schedule of COPs and Swap Contracts as of June 30, 2012
- 16. Annual Debt Service on COPs and Swap Contractsw
- 17. Schedule of UTGO Bonds as of June 30, 2012
- 18. Schedule of LTGO Bonds as of June 30, 2012
- 19. Annual Debt Service on General Obligation Debt & Other Liabilities
- 20. July 18, 2013 Declaration of Kevyn D. Orr In Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Orr Declaration")
- 21. January 13, 2012, City of Detroit, Michigan Notice of Preliminary Financial Review Findings and Appointment of a Financial Review Team
- 22. March 26, 2012, Report of the Detroit Financial Review Team
- 23. April 9, 2012, Financial Stability Agreement
- 24. December 14, 2012, Preliminary Review of the City of Detroit
- 25. February 19, 2013, Report of the Detroit Financial Review Team
- 26. March 1, 2013, letter from Governor Richard Snyder to the City
- 27. July 8, 2013, Ambac Comments on Detroit

- 28. July 16, 2013, Recommendation Pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436
- 29. July 18, 2013, Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding
- 30. July 18, 2013, Emergency Manager Order No. 13 Filing of a Petition Under Chapter 9 of Title 11 of the United States Code
- 31. Declaration of Charles M. Moore in Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Moore Declaration")
- 32. Collection of correspondence between Jones Day and representatives of Unions regarding the representation of current retirees
- 33. Chart on verbal communications with Unions regarding the representation of current retirees authored by Samantha Woo
- 34. Memorandum to File about communications with Unions regarding the representation of current retirees authored by Samantha Woo dated October 4, 2013
- 35. Redacted log of meetings and correspondence between the City and its advisors and various creditors prior to July 18, 2013
- 36. FRE 1006 chart summarizing meetings and communications with union creditors
- 37. FRE 1006 chart summarizing meetings and communications with union creditors
- 38. FRE 1006 chart summarizing monthly cash forecast absent restructuring
- 39. February 21, 2013 to June 21, 2013 Calendar of Lamont Satchel
- 40. List of Special Conferences for Association held with Members of Police Labor Relations

- 41. June 10, 2013, City of Detroit Financial and Operating Plan Slides
- 42. RSVP List of June 14, 2013 Proposal for Creditors Meeting
- 43. June 14, 2013, City of Detroit Proposal for Creditors
- 44. June 14, 2013, Proposal for Creditors Executive Summary
- 45. List of Invitees to the June 20, 2013 Meetings
- 46. Sign-in sheets from June 20, 2013, 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (Non-Uniform Retiree Benefits Restructuring)
- 47. Sign-in sheets from June 20, 2013 2:00-4:00 PM (Uniform Retiree Benefits Restructuring)
- 48. June 20, 2013 City of Detroit Retiree Legacy Cost Restructing
 Non-Uniform Retirees
- 49. June 20, 2013 City of Detroit Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring Uniform Retirees
- 50. Invitee List and Sign-in Sheet for the June 25, 2013 Meeting
- 51. Cash Flow Forecasts provided at June 25, 2013 Meeting
- 52. Composite of emails attaching 63 letters dated June 27, 2013 to participants of the June 20, 2013 meetings
- 53. List of Attendees at July 9 and 10, 2013 Creditor Meetings
- 54. Detroit Future City Plan 2012
- 55. Collection of correspondence regarding invitations to the July 10 Pension Meetings and July 11 Retiree Health Meetings
- 56. July 10, 2013 City of Detroit Sign In Sheet for 1:00 PM Pension and Retiree Meeting
- 57. July 10, 2012 City of Detroit Sign In Sheet for 3:00 PM Police and Fire Meeting

- 58. July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Sign-in Sheet for 10:00 AM Non-Unformed Meeting
- 59. July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Sign-in Sheet for the 1:30 PM Uniformed Meeting
- 60. July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Union Retiree Meeting Draft Medicare Advantage Plan Design Options
- 61. Correspondence between representatives of AFSCME and representatives of the City
- 62. Michigan Attorney General Opinion No. 7272
- 63. July 31, 2013 Notice of Filing Amended List of Creditors Holding 20 Largest Unsecured Claims
- 64. September 30, 2013 Notice of Filing of Second Amended List of Creditors and Claims, Pursuant to Section 924 and 925 of The Bankruptcy Code
- 65. June 4, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller
- 66. June 4, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller
- 67. June 14, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller
- 68. June 30, 2011, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company, 73rd Annual Actuarial Valuation of the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit
- 69. April 2013, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company, Draft 74th Annual Actuarial Valuation of the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit as of June 30, 2012
- 70. June 30, 2012, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Co., 71st Annual Actuarial Valuation of the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit

- 71. November 8, 2012 Letter from Kenneth G. Alberts to The Retirement Board Police and Fire Retirement System for the City of Detroit
- 72. November 21, 2011 Memorandum from Irvin Corley, Jr., to Council Members of the City of Detroit City Council
- 73. July 17, 2013 Letter from Evan Miller to representatives of the City of Detroit Police and Firefighters Unions
- 74. July 15, 2013 Quarterly Report with Respect to the Financial Condition of the City of Detroit (period April 1st June 30th)
- 75. May 12, 2013 City of Detroit, Office of the Emergency Manager, Financial and Operating Plan
- 76. Responses of International Union, UAW to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories
- 77. UAW Privilege Log
- 78. Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO, and Sub-Chapter 98, City of Detroit Retirees Responses and Objections to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories
- 79. The Detroit Retirement Systems' Responses and Objections to the Debtor's First Interrogatories
- 80. Amended (Signed) Response of Detroit Police Command Officers Association to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories to the Detroit Public Safety Unions
- 81. Response of Detroit Police Lieutenants & Sergeants
 Association to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories to the
 Detroit Public Safety Unions
- 82. Response of Detroit Police Officers Association to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories to the Detroit Public Safety Unions
- 83. Answers to Debtor's First Interrogatories to Retiree Association Parties

- 84. Retired Detroit Police Members Association's Answers to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories
- 85. Responses of the Official Committee of Retirees to Debtor's First Set of Interrogatories
- 86. Objection and Responses of International Union, UAW to Debtor's First Request for Production of Documents
- 87. Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO, and Sub-Chapter 98, City of Detroit Retirees Responses and Objections to Debtor's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents
- 88. The Detroit Retirement Systems' Responses and Objections to the Debtor's First Set of Request for Production of Documents
- 89. Amended (Signed) Response of Detroit Police Command Officers Association to Debtor's First Requests for Production of Documents to the Detroit Public Safety Unions
- 90. The Detroit Fire Fighters Associations' (DFFA) Response to Debtor's First Request for Production of Documents
- 91. Response of Retiree Association Parties to Debtor's First Requests for Production of Documents
- 92. Retired Detroit Police Members Association Response to Debtor's First Requests for Production
- 93. June 14, 2013 Index Card #1 from Nicholson
- 94. June 14, 2013 Index Card #2 from Nicholson
- 95. June 20, 2013 Typewritten Notes from June 20, 2013 Presentation
- 96. July 16, 2013 Nicholson Affidavit in *Flowers*
- 97. August 19, 2013 UAW Eligibility Objection
- 98. Nicholson Letter To Irwin re UAW Discovery Responses

- 99. FRE 1006 Chart summarizing the approximate number of documents uploaded to the data room before July 18, 2013
- 100. FRE 1006 Chart summarizing the approximate number of pages in documents uploaded to the data room before July 18, 2013
- 101. Declaration of Kyle Herman, Director at Miller Buckfire, in support of the FRE 1006 charts summarizing the approximate number of documents and pages uploaded to the data room
- 102. Any exhibit identified by any Objector.

B. Objectors' Exhibits and City's Objections

Objectors' exhibits are indicated in Attachments A-G.

C. Objections to City's Exhibits

Objectors' objections to the City's Exhibits are indicated in Attachment H.

Objectors reserve their rights to assert objections to City Exhibits 76-101.

So ORDERED this	day of October, 2013.
	Steven W. Rhodes
	United States Bankruptcy Judge

ATTACHMENT A

THE RETIRED DETROIT POLICE MEMBERS ASSOCIATION'S WITNESS LIST, EXHIBIT LIST AND DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

THE RETIRED DETROIT POLICE MEMBERS ASSOCIATION'S WITNESS LIST, EXHIBIT LIST AND DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The Retired Detroit Police Members Association ("RDPMA"), through its counsel, Strobl & Sharp, P.C., hereby submits the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

- A. The RDPMA hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Howard Ryan
 - 2. Treasurer Andrew Dillon
 - 3. Governor Richard Snyder
 - 4. Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr, September 16, 2013
- B. The RDPMA hereby reserves the right to call as a witness any witness identified by any other party, regardless of whether such witness is called to testify.
- C. The RDPMA hereby reserves the right to call as a witness any rebuttal and/or impeachment and/or foundation witness as necessary..

II. Exhibit List

The RDPMA hereby submits this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

RDPMA	<u>Exhibit</u>	Objections
Exhibit No.		

1.	03/02/13 - Dillon Dep. Ex. 6 -	Hearsay;
	CTMI00234878, (email correspondence)	Relevance
2.	03/03/12 - Dillon Dep. Ex. 7 -	Hearsay;
	DTMI00234877 -880, (email	Relevance
	correspondence)	
3.	09/30/12 - Comprehensive Annual Financial	Relevance
	Report	
4.	Orr Deposition Exhibit 4, September 16,	
	2013	
	Email correspondence dated January 31,	
	2013	
	Bates No. JR-RD-0000295-296	

III. Deposition Designations

The RDPMA hereby submits the following deposition designations:

<u>10/14/13 Howard Ryan</u>

p. 29, L.4 - 15 p. 30, L. 13 - 25 p. 43, L. 14 - p. 47, L. 5 10/09/13 Richard Snyder p. 27, L. 8 - p. 29, L. 12 10/10/13 Andrew Dillon p. 34, L. 3 - 5 p. 35, L. 11 - p. 36, L. 22 09/16/13 Kevyn Orr p. 43 L18 - p. 46, L. 6

ATTACHMENT B

THE RETIREE ASSOCIATION PARTIES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST AND (2) EXHIBIT LIST

THE RETIREE ASSOCIATION PARTIES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST AND (2) EXHIBIT LIST

The Retired Detroit Police & Fire Fighters Association ("RDPFFA"), Donald Taylor, individually and as President of the RDPFFA, the Detroit Retired City Employees Association ("DRCEA"), and Shirley V. Lightsey, individually and as President of the DRCEA (collectively "Retiree Association Parties") through their counsel, Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC and Silverman & Morris, P.L.L.C., submit the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

- A. The Retiree Association Parties hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - Shirley V. Lightsey (see Declaration, Dkt. 502)
 c/o Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC
 370 E. Maple Road
 Third Floor
 Birmingham MI, 48009
 (248) 646-8292

Ms. Lightsey is prepared to testify on matters including, but not limited to, the fact that the City did not negotiate on retiree matters (pension and OPEB), her attendance at multiple presentational meetings, that the DRCEA is a natural representative of the City of Detroit general retirees, that the DRCEA was ready, willing and able to negotiate with the City on Retiree issues, that the DRCEA unsuccessfully requested to meet with Kevyn Orr and on the qualifications, history, successes and structure of the DRCEA.

Donald Taylor (see Declaration, Dkt. 502)
 c/o Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC
 370 E. Maple Road
 Third Floor
 Birmingham MI, 48009
 (248) 646-8292

Mr. Taylor is prepared to testify on matters including, but not limited to, the fact that the City did not negotiate on retiree matters (pension and OPEB), his attendance at multiple presentational meetings, that the RDPFFA is a natural representative of the City of Detroit uniformed (police and fire) retirees, that the RDPFFA was ready, willing and able to negotiate with the City on Retiree issues, that the RDPFFA met with Kevyn Orr during which he stated that pensions would not be diminished or impaired and that certain classes of retirees covered by a consent judgment would not have their medical benefits impaired, the unsuccessful requests for follow up meetings with Mr. Orr or City officials and on the qualifications, history, successes and structure of the DRCEA.

- 3. Any and all witnesses listed, regardless of whether they are called, on the witness list of any party.
- 4. Any and all witnesses necessary to provide a proper foundation for any physical and/or documentary evidence or to rebut the same regarding testimony or other evidence sought to be admitted by any party

II. Exhibit List

The Retiree Association Parties hereby submit this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

Retiree Association Parties' Exhibit No.	<u>Exhibit</u>	Objections
1.	Declaration of Shirley V. Lightsey (Dkt.	Hearsay;
	497, Ex. 2)	Relevance
2.	Declaration of Donald Taylor (Dkt. 497,	Hearsay;
	Ex. 3)	Relevance

3. Bylaws of DRCEA (RetAssnParties000032-000036) 4. Bylaws of RDPFFA (RetAssnParties000043-000060) 5. Articles of Incorporation for DRCEA (RetAssnParties000038-000041) 6. Articles of Incorporation for RDPFFA	
4. Bylaws of RDPFFA (RetAssnParties000043-000060) 5. Articles of Incorporation for DRCEA (RetAssnParties000038-000041)	
(RetAssnParties000043-000060) 5. Articles of Incorporation for DRCEA (RetAssnParties000038-000041)	
5. Articles of Incorporation for DRCEA (RetAssnParties000038-000041)	
(RetAssnParties000038-000041)	
` '	
6. Articles of Incorporation for RDPFFA	
F	
(RetAssnParties000042)	
7. Notice and Consent forms from DRCEA	
Members (See CD, Bates No.	
RetAssnParties000061)	
8. Notice and Consent forms from	
RDPFFA Members (See CD, Bates No.	
RetAssnParties000062)	
9. Letter from Shirley V. Lightsey to	
Kevyn Orr, dated May 4, 2013	
(RetAssnParties000181)	
10. Letters from DRCEA members to the	Hearsay;
DRCEA (RetAssnParties000001-	Relevance
000021)	
11. Letters from RDPFFA members to the	Hearsay;
RDPFFA;	Relevance
12. Materials provided by the City at the	Copies of
April 18, 2013 presentation;	Exhibits not
	provided
13. Materials provided by the City at the	Copies of
June 14, 2013 presentation (Orr Dep. Ex.	Exhibits not
9, Dkt. 438-16, City of Detroit Proposal	provided
for Creditors)	
14. Materials provided by the City at the	Copies of
June 20, 2013 presentation:	Exhibits not
	provided
15. Materials provided by the City at the	Copies of
	Exhibits not
	provided
	Copies of
10. Whatehals provided by the City at the	
	Exhibits not

17.	Wieler consent judgment (RetAssnParties000143-000180)	Hearsay ; Relevance
18.	U.S. Trustee Retiree Committee	
18.		Hearsay;
	Questionnaires completed by the following:	Relevance
	lonowing.	
	a. Shirley V. Lightsey	
	(RetAssnParties000100-000104)	
	b. Donald Taylor	
	(RetAssnParties000121-000125)	
19.	Any and all documents, correspondence	Non-specific;
	and/or other materials authored by any	non-compliant
	witnesses identified in Plaintiff's witness	with Local Rule
	list that contain relevant facts and/or	7016-1(a)(9)
	information regarding this matter	
20.	Any and all exhibits identified by any	
	party	
21.	Any and all exhibits included in filings	Non-specific;
	of the Retiree Association Parties.	non-compliant
		with Local Rule
		7016-1(a)(9)

ATTACHMENT C

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF RETIREES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF RETIREES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The Official Committee of Retirees (the "Committee"), through their counsel, Dentons US LLP, for the Eligibility Hearing scheduled to start October 23, 2013, submit the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

A. The Committee hereby submits this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses via deposition testimony in the eligibility trial:

- 1. Emergency Manager Kevyn D. Orr
- 2. Conway MacKenzie Senior Managing Director Charles Moore
- 3. Michigan Treasurer Andy Dillon
- B. The Committee hereby submits this consolidated witness list of individuals who may be called as witnesses via deposition testimony in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Milliman Principal and Consulting Actuary Glenn Bowen
 - 2. Michigan Labor Relations Director Lamont Satchel
 - 3. Ernst & Young LLP Principal Guarav Malhotra
 - 4. Kenneth Buckfire

- 5. Governor Richard D. Snyder
- 6. Howard Ryan
- D. The Committee hereby reserves the right to call as witnesses any witness called by any other party.

II. Exhibit List

The Committee hereby submits this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

Committee's Exhibit No.	<u>Exhibit</u>	Objections
1.	01/30/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 1, JD-RD-0000113 (email chain)	
2.	01/31/13 - Orr Dep Ex. 2, JD-RD-0000303 (email chain)	
3.	01/31/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 3, JD-RD-0000300-02 (email chain)	
4.	01/31/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 4, JD-RD-0000295-96 (email chain)	
5.	Orr Dep. Ex. 5, M.C.L.A. Const. Art. 9, § 24	
6.	02/20/13 - Orr Dep Ex. 6, JD-RD-0000216-18 (email chain)	
7.	02/22/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 7, JD-RD-0000459-64 (email chain)	
8.	05/12/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 8, (Financial and Operating Plan)	
9.	06/14/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 9, Dkt. 438-16 (City of Detroit Proposal for Creditors)	
10.	07/16/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 10, Dkt. 11-10 (letter Re: Recommendation Pursuant to Section 18(I) of PA 436)	
11.	07/18/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 11, Dkt. 11-11	

	(letter Re: Authorization to Commence	
	Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding)	
	07/12/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 12, Dkt. 512-6	
12.	(letter Re: City of Detroit Pension	
	Restructuring)	
	07/17/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 13, Dkt. 512-6	
13.	(letter Re: City of Detroit Pension	
	Restructuring)	
1.4	09/11/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 14, (Retiree	
14.	Legacy Cost Restructuring Presentation)	
1.5	07/18/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 15, Dkt. 11	
15.	(Declaration of Kevyn Orr)	
	09/13/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 17, Dkt. 849	
	(City of Detroit Objections and	
16.	Responses to Detroit Retirement	
	Systems' Frist Requests for Admission	
	Directed to the City of Detroit	
	06/27/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 18,	
17.	DTMI00082699 (letter Re: City of	
	Detroit Restructuring)	
18.	02/13/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 20, JD-RD-	
10.	0000334-36 (email chain)	
	01/29/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 21,	
19.	DTMI00128731-805 (Jones Day 1/29/13	
	Pitchbook)	
20.	03/2013 - Orr Dep. Ex. 22,	
20.	DTMI00129416 (Restructuring Plan)	
21.	02/15/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 25, JD-RD-	Authentication;
21.	0000354-55 (email chain)	Hearsay
	06/21/13 - Satchel Dep. Ex. 18,	
22.	DTMI00078573 (email attaching 6/20/13	
	Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring)	
	06/14/13 - Satchel Dep. Ex. 19, Dkt.	
23	438-7(letter Re: Retiree Benefit	
	Restructuring Meeting	
	06/17/13 - Satchel Dep Ex. 20, Dkt. 438-	
24.	6 (letter Re: Request from EFM for	
	additional information)	

	00/04/10	T
25.	09/24/13 - Bowen Dep. Ex. 4, DTMI00066176-90 (letter Re: PFRS	
	Simple 10-Year Projection of Plan	
	Freeze and No Future COLA)	
	11/16/12 - Bowen Dep. Ex. 9,	
26.	DTMI00066269-74 (letter Re: DGRS	
	Simple Projection)	
	05/20/13 - Bowen Dep. Ex. 10	
	DTMI00066285 (Letter Re: DGRS	
27.	Simple 10-Year Projection of Plan	
	Freeze and No Future COLA)	
	05/21/13 - Bowen Dep Ex. 11, (letter	
20	from G. Bowen to E. Miller Re: PFRS	
28.	Simple 10-Year Projection of Plan	
	Freeze and No Future COLA)	
	09/24/13 - Bowen Dep. Ex. 14, (letter	
29.	Re: One-Year Service Cancellation for	
	DRGS and PFRS)	
	07/17/13 - Malhotra Dep. Ex. 8,	
30.	DTMI00137104 (Ernst & Young -	
	Amendment No. 7 to statement of work)	
	07/02/13 - Dkt. 438-9 (letter from S.	
31.	Kreisberg to B. Easterly Re: Request for	
	Information)	
	07/03/13 - Dkt. 438-10 (letter from B.	
32.	Eastley to S. Kreisberg Re: City of	
	Detroit Restructuring)	
	01/16/13 - DTMI00078970 - 79162,	
33.	(Ernst & Young Professional Service	
	Contract)	
	04/04/13 - DTMI00210876 - 78, (Ernst	
34.	& Young Amendment No 6 to	
	Professional Services Contract)	
35.	07/17/13 - Snyder Dep. Ex. 6, (City of	Hearsay
	Detroit Rollout Plan)	
26	06/07/13 - Snyder Dep. Ex. 7, (Tedder	Hearsay
36.	email)	
27	07/08/13 - Snyder Dep. Ex. 8, (Dillon	Hearsay
37.	email)	

38.	07/09/13 - Snyder Dep. Ex. 9, (Dillon email)	Hearsay
39.	07/09/13 - Dillon Dep. Ex. 5 (Dillon email)	Hearsay
40.	09/13/2013 - Dkt. 849 (City's Response to General Retirement Systems Request For Admissions)	
41.	08/23/13 - Dkt. 611 (General Retirement Systems Request For Admissions)	
42.	06/30/2011 - DTMI00225546 - 96, (Gabriel Roeder Smith 73rd Annual Actuarial Valuation)	
43.	06/30/12 - DTMI00225597 - 645, (Gabriel Roeder Smith 74th Annual Actuarial Valuation)	
44.	03/2013 - Bing Dep. Ex. 3 DTMI00129416 - 53 (City of Detroit - Restructuring Plan	
45.	06/30/12 - Bing Dep. Ex. 4 - (Excerpt of Comprehensive Annual Financial Report - (pages 123-124))	
46.	07/10/13 - Bing Dep. Ex. 5 - DTMI00098861-62, (email correspondence)	
47.	The video as it is linked from the 09/16/13 and 10/4/13 depositions of Kevyn D. Orr	
48.	The video as it is linked from the 10/14/13 Dave Bing Deposition	
49.	The video as it is linked from the 10/9/13 Richard D. Snyder Deposition	
50.	The video as it is linked from 10/10/13 Andrew Dillon Deposition	
51.	Any and all documents, correspondence and/or other materials authored by any witnesses identified in the City's witness list that contain relevant facts and/or information regarding this matter	Non-specific; non-compliant with Local Rule 7016-1(a)(9)

Any and all exhibits identified by any party	
--	--

III. Deposition Designations

The Committee hereby submits the following deposition designations:

09/16/13 Kevyn Orr

- p.10, L. 23 p. 11, L. 14
- p. 12, L. 1 p. 13, L. 25
- p. 14, L. 14 p. 15, L. 17
- p. 17, L. 7 p. 19, L. 19
- p. 20, L. 19 25
- p. 21, L. 3 6
- p. 21, L. 21 24
- p. 23, L. 13 19
- p. 23, L. 24 25
- p. 24, L. 4 p. 25, L. 22
- p. 26, L. 20 25
- p. 29, L. 6 p. 31, L. 5
- p. 32, L. 14 23
- p. 33, L. 5 13
- p. 38, L. 11 p. 41, L. 17
- p. 43, L. 15 p. 45, L. 19
- p. 46, L. 7 p. 47, L. 18
- p. 48, L. 1 p. 49, L. 8
- p. 50, L. 23 p. 53, L. 7
- p. 53, L. 16 24
- p. 54, L. 2 5
- p. 54, L. 13 19
- p. 54, L. 22 p. 55, L. 5
- p. 55, L. 8 17
- p. 55, L. 20 p. 56, L. 19
- p. 56, L. 21
- p. 57, L. 11 p. 60, L. 13
- p. 61, L. 17 p. 62, L. 24

- p. 63, L. 25 p. 64, L. 11
- p. 65, L. 15 p. 66, L. 1
- p. 69, L. 3 p. 71, L. 2
- p. 71, L. 6 8
- p. 71, L. 17 p. 78, L. 5
- p. 78, L. 21
- p. 79, L. 2 6
- p. 79, L. 16 p. 80, L. 8
- p. 80, L. 25 p. 82, L. 23
- p. 82, L. 25 p. 83, L. 3
- p. 83, L. 16 p. 84, L. 2
- p. 84, L. 13 16
- p. 84, L. 18 24
- p. 85, L. 19 p. 86, L.1
- p. 86, L. 16 p. 95, L. 1
- p. 96, L. 25 p. 108, L. 7
- p. 110, L. 12 p. 119, L. 10
- p. 119, L. 20 p. 120, L. 16
- p. 120, L. 19 p. 121, L. 12
- p. 122, L. 7 p. 123, L. 14
- p. 123, L. 17 p. 125, L. 10
- p. 125, L. 24 p. 127, L. 4
- p. 127, L. 24 p. 130, L. 23
- p. 132, L. 12 p. 133, L. 25
- p. 134, L. 3 p. 135 L. 4
- p. 136, L. 18 p. 137, L. 1
- p. 137, L. 12 p. 144, L. 23
- p. 145, L. 25 p. 146, L. 10
- p. 147, L. 19 25
- p. 148, L. 16 p. 153, L. 8
- p. 166, L. 12 24
- p. 168, L. 5 p. 172, L. 4
- p. 172, L. 19 p. 176, L. 20
- p. 177, L. 21 p. 178, L. 1
- p. 179, L. 2 p. 185, L. 23
- p. 187, L. 3 p. 190, L. 12
- p. 192, L. 2 8
- p. 215, L. 13 24
- p. 247, L. 1 7

- p. 248, L. 15 p. 249, L. 5
- p. 251, L. 16 18
- p. 252, L. 4 5
- p. 252, L. 12 p. 253, L. 6
- p. 260, L. 8 21
- p. 261, L. 21 p. 262, L. 4
- p. 262, L. 13 23
- p. 266, L. 18 25
- p. 267, L. 11 p. 268, L. 1
- p. 270, L. 25 p. 272, L. 6
- p. 272, L. 20 p. 273, L. 13
- p. 273, L. 24 p. 276, L. 8
- p. 277, L. 19 p. 279, L. 6
- p. 279, L. 23 p. 280, L. 4
- p. 280, L. 17 19
- p. 280, L. 23 25
- p. 288, L. 10 p. 289, L. 6
- p. 302, L. 9 p. 303, L. 7

10/04/13 Kevin Orr

- p. 323, L. 22 p. 324, L.14
- p. 328, L. 4 p. 329, L. 3
- p. 330, L. 13 17
- p. 331, L. 18 p. 332, L. 1
- p. 332, L. 2
- p. 333, L. 11 p. 335, L. 9
- p. 361, L. 7 p. 362, L. 22
- p. 364, L. 5 p. 365, L. 7
- p. 368, L. 10-15
- p. 369, L. 12 p. 381, L. 2
- p. 409, L. 9 p. 412, L. 18
- p. 415, L. 7 p. 417, L. 11
- p. 419, L. 2 7
- p. 455, L. 3 p. 457, L. 1
- p. 464, L. 17 19
- p. 477, L. 8 p. 481, L. 22
- p. 489, L. 8 22

09/18/13 Charles M. Moore Deposition Designations

- p. 8, L. 4 8
- p. 12, L. 3 6
- p. 36, L. 9 12
- p. 50, L. 2 p. 51, L. 1
- p. 51, L. 10 17
- p. 52, L. 5 20
- p. 53, L. 25 p. 54, L. 11
- p. 61, L. 18 p. 62, L. 7
- p. 62, L. 25 p. 63, L. 12
- p. 64, L. 6 7
- p. 64, L. 9 14
- p. 64, L. 16 20
- p. 65, L. 4 11
- p. 70, L. 16 18
- p. 91, L. 20 23
- p. 110, L. 12 22
- p. 126, L. 22 p. 127, L. 14
- p. 130, L. 25 p. 131, L. 14
- p. 138, L. 7 p. 139, L. 9
- p. 140, L. 16 p. 141, L. 2
- p. 141, L. 8 19
- p. 150, L. 24 p. 151, L. 5
- p. 151, L. 7 18
- p. 151, L. 20 p. 152, L. 1
- p. 152, L. 8 21
- p. 156, L. 18 25

10/10/13 Andrew Dillon

- p. 7, L. 18 20
- p. 60, L. 10 p. 61, L. 2
- p. 61, L. 9 p. 63, L. 10
- p. 64, L. 10 15
- p. 68, L. 23 p. 69, L. 1
- p. 69, L. 23 p. 71, L. 12
- p. 89, L. 15 p. 90, L. 24
- p. 91, L. 10 p. 93, L. 1

p. 94, L. 11 - p. 96, L. 9 p. 119, L. 1 - p. 120, L. 14

09/24/13 Glen David Bowen

- p. 12, L. 7 9
- p. 19, L. 12 20
- p. 34, L. 8 21
- p. 63, L. 21 p. 64, L. 5
- p. 73, L. 7 21
- p. 91, L. 18 p. 92, L. 13
- p. 93, L. 4 14
- p. 98, L. 13 p. 99, L. 3
- p. 99, L. 9 17
- p. 100, L. 18 22
- p. 129, L. 14 22
- p. 130, L. 8 p. 132, L. 11
- p. 133, L. 10 p. 134, L. 18
- p. 141, L. 9 17
- p. 142, L. 8 10
- p. 142, L. 13 19
- p. 143, L. 1 6
- p. 143, L. 8 19
- p. 146, L. 8 19
- p. 147, L. 2 p. 148, L. 15
- p. 148, L. 19 22
- p. 149, L. 2 3
- p. 149, L. 6 8
- p. 150, L. 5 15
- p. 177, L. 18 p. 178, L. 3
- p. 192, L. 8 p. 193, L. 11
- p. 194, L. 4 12
- p. 198, L. 5 7
- p. 198, L. 17 19
- p. 203, L. 20 p. 204 L. 9
- p. 204 L. 11 14
- p. 204, L. 16 19
- p. 205, L. 7 p. 206, L. 11

09/19/13 Lamont Satchel

p. 65, L. 7 - p. 66, L. 9

p. 88, L. 14 - p. 89, L.18

p. 89, L. 25 - p. 90, L. 1

p. 90, L. 4 - p. 91, L. 3

09/09/13 Guarav Malhotra

p. 12, L. 22 - p. 13, L. 5

p. 17, L. 4 - 11

p. 19, L. 15 - p. 21, L. 12

p. 25, L. 7 - p. 26, L. 8

p. 40, L. 4 - 10

p. 50, L. 21 - p. 53, L. 20

p. 110 L. 8 - p. 115, L. 15

p. 115, L. 20 - p. 116, L. 3

p. 116, L. 10 - p. 119, L. 11

p. 119, L. 17 - p. 120, L. 11

p. 190, L. 18 - p. 192, L. 8

p. 196, L. 23 - p. 198, L. 13

p. 209, L. 3 - 18

p. 227, L. 12 - p. 228, L. 6

09/09/13 Guarav Malhotra

p. 10, L. 12 - 21

p. 11, L. 14 - p. 12, L. 18

p. 24, L. 18 - p. 25, L. 18

p. 28, L. 5 - p. 29, L. 18

p. 38, L. 12 - 21

p. 44, L. 21 - p. 47, L. 11

p. 51, L. 7 - p. 55, L. 12

p. 60, L. 4 - p. 63, L. 3

p. 80, L. 8 - 21

p. 106, L. 10 - p. 108, L. 11

09/20/13 Kenneth Buckfire

- p. 10, L. 2 6
- p. 37, L. 12 p. 38, L. 4
- p. 40, L. 2 p. 40, L. 16
- p. 58, L. 13 p. 63, L. 3
- p. 66, L. 6 p. 68, L. 8
- p. 74, L. 24 p. 77, L. 2
- p. 77, L. 8 22
- p. 95, L. 7 p. 97, L. 22
- p. 98, L. 9 p. 99, L. 19
- p. 101, L. 7 p. 102, L. 15
- p. 104, L. 13 p. 105, L. 6
- p. 112, L. 12 25

10/09/13 Richard D. Snyder

- p. 9, L. 24 p. 10, L. 1
- p. 11, L. 20 p. 12, L. 12
- p. 13, L. 3 17
- p. 13, L. 20 22
- p. 29, L. 18 p. 30, L. 2
- p. 46, L. 3 6
- p. 46, L. 16 19
- p. 46, L. 24 p. 47, L. 5
- p. 51, L. 17 p. 53, L. 3
- p. 55, L. 20 p. 56, L. 1
- p. 57, L. 4 6
- p. 57, L. 9 11
- p. 59, L. 2 5

- p. 60, L. 16 23
- p. 61, L. 1 4
- p. 61, L. 8
- p. 63, L. 9 p. 64, L. 10
- p. 64, L. 14 18
- p. 64, L. 20 p. 65, L. 6
- p. 71, L. 12 17
- p. 76, L. 11 13
- p. 77, L. 6 19
- p. 78, L. 14 p. 79, L. 14
- p. 79, L. 25 p. 80, L. 6
- p. 85, L. 10 18
- p. 87, L. 1 9
- p. 92, L. 16 20
- p. 109, L. 10 p. 110, L. 6
- p. 120, L. 11 19
- p. 122, L. 10 13
- p. 122, L. 18 20
- p. 124, L. 3 -17
- p. 125, L. 21 p. 126, L. 10
- p. 126, L, 18 21
- p. 127, L. 13 15
- p. 128, L. 18 22

10/10/13 Andrew Dillon Deposition Designations

10/14/13 Howard Ryan Deposition Designations

- p. 25, L. 9 p. 28, L.17
- p. 30, L. 13 p. 31, L. 3
- p. 35, L. 25 p. 37, L. 20
- p. 46, 6 p. 46, L. 23

10/14/13 Dave Bing Deposition Designations

- p. 11, L. 9 p. 13, L. 7
- p. 50. L. 7 p. 51, L. 8
- p. 53, L. 15 p. 58, L. 11
- p. 59, L. 25 p. 64, L. 23
- p. 66, L. 21 p. 68, L. 9
- p. 72, L. 13 p. 75, L. 11
- p. 75, L. 22 p. 90, L. 3
- p. 91, L. 4 24

ATTACHMENT D

THE MICHIGAN COUNCIL 25 OF THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

THE MICHIGAN COUNCIL 25 OF THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees ("AFSCME"), through their counsel, Lowenstein Sandler LLP, for the Eligibility Hearing scheduled to start October 23, 2013, submit the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

- A. The AFSCME hereby submits this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as live witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Steven Kreisberg
- B. The AFSCME hereby submits this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses via deposition testimony in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Governor Richard D. Snyder
 - 2. Emergency Manager Kevyn D. Orr
 - 2. Ernst & Young LLP Principal Guarav Malhotra
 - 3. Conway MacKenzie Senior Managing Director Charles Moore
 - 4. Michigan Treasurer Andy Dillon
 - 5. Richard Baird

- 6. Mayor David Bing
- 7. Howard Ryan
- C. The AFSCME hereby submits this consolidated witness list of individuals who may be called as witnesses via deposition testimony in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Edward McNeil
- D. The AFSCME hereby reserves the right to call as witnesses any witness called by any other party.

II. Exhibit List

The AFSCME hereby submits this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

AFSCME	Exhibit	Objections
Exhibit No.		
1.	08/2007 - Dep. Ex. 8, (Office of the Auditor General Audit of the Municipal Parking Department) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	Relevance
2.	12/16/11 - AFSCME000000368 – 373, (City of Detroit Budgetary Savings and Revenue Manifesto – City of Detroit Labor Organizations)	Authentication; Hearsay; Relevance
3.	12/21/11 - Ex. C, (2011 Treasury Report) Declaration of Kevyn Orr in Support of Eligibility	

4.	01/10/12 - Dep. Ex. 10, (City of Detroit Letter Request for Information to Cockrel, Budget, Finance and Audit Standing Committee Chair) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	
5.	02/01/12 - DTMI00086926 – 86983, (Tentative Agreement between City and Coalition of City of Detroit (non-uniform) Unions)	Hearsay; Relevance
6.	03/02/12 - DTMI00234878 – 234880, (Email amongst Jones Day Subject: Consent Agreement)	
7.	03/26/12 - Dep. Ex. 5, (Letter from Lamont Satchel to Edward McNeil Confirming Coalition of Unions representing Detroit City workers has ratified a new contract) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013	Relevance
8.	04/02/12 - Dep. Ex. 6, (Letter to Lamont Satchel from Edward McNeil providing updated list of coalition unions) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013	Relevance
9.	03/26/12 - DTMI00204529 - 204543, (2012 Financial Review Team Report, dated March 26, 2012)	
10.	04/05/12 - DTMI00161620 - 161678, (2012 Consent Agreement)	
11.	06/06/12 - Dep. Ex. 9, (City of Detroit Non-filer Collection Summary for years	

	2006 to 2009) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	Relevance
12.	06/11/12 - DMTI00098703- 98704 Email from Kyle Herman of Miller Buckfire to Heather Lennox & others Forwarding article "Bing: Detroit Will Miss Friday Payment if Suit Not Dropped"	Hearsay
13.	07/18/12 - AFSCME000000291-337, (Letter from Satchel attaching City Employment Terms)	Relevance
14.	07/27/12 - AFSCME 000000340 – 343, (Inter-Departmental Communication from Lamont Satchel to City of Detroit Employees regarding employment terms)	Relevance
15.	08/02/12 - Dep Ex. 12, (August 2, 2012 CET Implementation Project Kickoff Meeting) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013	Relevance; Hearsay
16.	08/20/12 - AFSCME 000000344 - 347, (Cynthia Thomas Memorandum re: Changes in Pension Provisions to Unionized Employees Subject to City Employment Terms)	Hearsay; Relevance
17.	08/29/12 - DTMI00090577 – 90584, (Cynthia Thomas Revised Memorandum re: Changes in Pension Provisions to Unionized Employees Subject to City Employment Terms)	Hearsay; Relevance
18.	11/21/12 - DMTI00103931 - 103932, (Email Exchange with James Doak to	Hearsay; Relevance

	Buckfire & others re: furloughs)
19.	12/02/12 - Moore Dep. Ex. 6, DTMI00078512 - 8514 (Email from Kriss Andrews to Andy Dillon re: respective roles of E&Y, Conway MacKenzie, and Miller Buckfire in restructuring)
20.	12/14/12 - DTMI00220457 - 220459, (2012 Treasury Report)
21.	12/18/12 - Dep. Ex. 12, (Letter from Edward McNeil to Lamont Satchel) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013
22.	12/19/12 - Dep. Ex. 13, (Budget Required Furlough) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013
23.	12/19/12 - Moore Dep. Ex. 7, DTMI00106319 - 106320, (Email from Van Conway to Moore Re: draft "Exhibit A" concerning proposed scope of services for Conway MacKenzie as part of K with City of Detroit)
24.	12/19/12 - Moore Dep. Ex. 8, DTMI00079526, (Email from Moore to Kriss Andrews etc Re: draft "Exhibit A" concerning proposed scope of services for Conway MacKenzie as part of K with City of Detroit)
25.	12/19/12 - Moore Dep. Ex. 9, (Email from Kriss Andrews to Baird Re: scope of work for Conway MacKenzie)

26.	12/19/12 - Moore dep. Ex. 10, DTMI00079528 - 79530 (Exhibit A Conway MacKenzie Scope of Services for January 9, 2013 through December 31, 2013)	
27.	12/27/12 - Dep. Ex. 17 (Caremark/CVS Letter), Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013	Hearsay; Relevance
28.	01/2013 - Dep Ex. 5, (Water Supply System Capital Improvement Program Fiscal Years 2013 through 2017 (January 2013 Update) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	Hearsay; Relevance
29.	01/2013 - Dep. Ex. 6, (Sewage Disposal System, Capital Improvement Program, Fiscal years 2013 through 2017 (January 2013 Update) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	Hearsay; Relevance
30.	01/03/13 - Dep Ex. 14, (Letter from Lamont Satchel to Ed McNeil in Response to December 28, 2012 Letter) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013	Relevance
31.	01/14/13 - DMTI00079665 - 79667(email from Kriss Andrews re: Professionals Call on Retiree Health Care Issues)	
32.	01/22/13 - DMTI00079569 - 79574, (Email from Kriss Andrews to Himself attaching Executive Summary of Detroit Restructuring Plan)	
33	01/23/13 - Dep. Ex. 15, (Letter from	

	Lamont Satchel to Ed McNeil responding to information request submitted December 18, 2012) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013
34.	01/25/13 - Dep. Ex. 16, (Letter from Ed McNeil to Lamont Satchel in preparation for meeting January 30, 2013) Deposition of Lamont Satchel, September 19, 2013
35.	01/16/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 11, DTMI00078909 - 78969 (Conway MacKenzie Professional Service Contract Transmittal Record approved January 16, 2013)
36.	01/29/13 - DTMI00128731-128805, (Pitch Presentation given to the City by the City's Law Firm)
37.	1/30/13 - JD-RD-0000113, (Email From Richard Baird forwarded by Corinne Ball to Heather Lennox "Bet he asked if Kevyn could be EM!")
38.	01/31/12 - JD-RD-0000177 -178, (10:52 email between Orr and his colleague)
39.	01/31/13 - JD-RD-0000295 - 296 (3:45:47 PM Email between Kevyn Orr and Corinne Ball Re: Bloomberg involvement as a bad idea & new law as a "redo" of prior rejected law)
40.	01/31/13 - JD-RD-0000303, (5:23:09 PM Email between Kevyn Orr and colleague re conversation with Richard Baird re:

	consideration of EM job; in response to email from Corinne Ball re: Bloomberg Foundation and financial support for EM & project)
41.	01/31/13 - JD-RD-0000300 - 302 (4:10:58 PM Email exchange between Orr and Daniel Moss Re: prudence of making Detroit a "national issue" to provide "political cover" & best option to go through chapter 9)
42.	02/11/13 - DMTI00083374 - 83394, (City of Detroit FAB Discussion Document)
43.	02/07/13 - JD-RD-0000334 - 336, (Email String between Richard Baird and Kevyn Orr re: Details of Emergency Manager Employment) February 12-13
44.	02/12/13 - JD-RD-0000327, (Email string between Richard Baird, Andy Dillon, Kevyn Orr and Others regarding schedule for Orr Visit on February 11, 2013) February 7, 2013-February 11, 2013
45.	02/13/13 - JD-RD-0000354-355, (Email String Regarding Prospect of Orr accepting position as Emergency Manager) February 13, 2013-February 15, 2013
46.	02/18/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 18, DTMI00103661 - 103663, (Email from Moore to Bill Pulte re: Pulte Capital Partners LLC employment to clear blight)

	02/19/13 - DTMI00080488 - 80508, (2013
47.	Financial Review Team Report)
	Timunotat teeview Team respons
	02/19/13 - DTMI00080488 - 80508,
48.	(Supplemental Documentation of the
	Detroit Financial Review team Report)
	02/20/13 - JD-RD-0000216 - 218, (Email
49.	attaching summary of partnership –
	Governor, Mayor & EM)
	02/22/13 - JD-RD-0000459 - 464, (Email
	exchange concerning summary of
50.	partnership Exchange with Orr and Baird,
	forwarding exchange between Baird and
	Snyder) February 20- 22, 2013
	02/22/13 - DTMI00097150 - 97154,
	(Letter from Irvin Corley, Director Fiscal
	Analysis Division and David Whitaker,
51.	Director Research & Analysis Division to
	Councilmembers Providing Comments on
	the Report of the Detroit Financial Review
	Team report)
	03/2013 - DMTI00078433 - 78470, (City
52.	of Detroit Restructuring Plan, Mayor's
	Implementation Progress Report)
	03/01/13 - DTMI 00124558 - 24562,
53.	(Governor's Determination of Financial
	Emergency)
	03/11/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 13,
54.	DTMI00078028–78046, (FAB Discussion
	Document)

55.	03/27/13 - JD-RD-0000524 - 532, (Contract for Emergency Manager Services)
56.	04/05/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 14 - DTMI00069987 – 70027, (City Council Review Restructuring Recommendations)
57.	04/08/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 14 - DTMI00083414 - 83434 - (FAB Discussion Document)
58.	04/11/13 - , (Order No. 5, issued by the EM April 11, 2013, requires that the EM approve in writing of any transfers of the City's real property)
59.	05/02/13 - (Order No. 6, issued by the EM on May 2, 2013, directs the precise amount of deposits from the City to the Public Lighting Authority)
60.	05/12/13 - DTMI00222548 - 222591, (Financial and Operating Plan)
61.	05/21/13 - Moore Dep. Ex. 4, DTMI00106352 - 6353, (email from Van Conway to Moore)
62.	05/21/13 - DTMI00106348 - 6349 (email exchange between Moore and Baird re: hiring of "Van" (Conway))
63.	05/24/13 - Debtor's Omnibus Reply to Objections Ex. C, (Letter from Edward McNeil estimating savings from the Tentative Agreement of Approximately

	\$50 million)	
64.	06/03/13 - Dep. Ex. 5, SOM20001327-1327-28, (Email String re: Financial and Operating Plan Powerpoint January 3, 2013 through June 7, 2013) Deposition of Treasurer Andrew Dillon, October 10, 2013	Hearsay
65.	06/10/13 - DTMI0011511-115432, (June 10 Presentation)	
66.	06/14/13 - DTMI00083043 - 83044, (letter from counsel to the City of Detroit to AFSCME)	
67.	06/14/13 - DTMI00227728 - 227861, (City of Detroit's "Proposal for Creditors" presented by the City of Detroit on June 14, 2013)	
68.	06/14/13 - DTMI00083741 - 83805, (Executive Summary of City of Detroit's "Proposal for Creditors" presented by the City of Detroit on June 14, 2013)	
69.	06/17/13 - AFSCME000000040 - 41 Kreisberg letter to Miller Buckfire & Co., LLC.	
70.	06/20/13 - DTMI00078574 - 78597, (Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring, Uniform Retirees June 20, 2013 Presentation)	
71.	06/20/13 - DTMI00078598 - 78621, (Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring, Non- Uniform Retirees June 20, 2013	

	Presentation)	
72.	06/21/13 - DMTI00099297 - 99298, (Email Sonya Mays to herself Re: refining current responsibilities to align more closely with City's financial restructuring effort)	
73.	06/21/13 - DTMI00078573 - 78621, (email from Lamont Satchel to David Bing and others attaching Emergency Manager's current restructuring plan for healthcare benefits and pensions)	
74.	06/27/13 - DTMI00084443, (letter from counsel to the City of Detroit to AFSCME) (Letter to Ed- not letter included in objection)	
75.	06/28/13 - DTMI00135831, (June 28, 2013 email from counsel to the City of Detroit to AFSCME)	
76.	06/30/13 - DTMI00175701 - 175736, (City of Detroit Water Fund Basic Financial Statements)	Authentication; Hearsay; Relevance
77.	06/30/13 - DTMI00175663 - 74700, (City of Detroit Sewage Disposal Fund Basic Financial Statements)	Authentication; Hearsay; Relevance
78.	07/02/13 - AFSCME000000036 - 39, (Kreisberg letter to counsel to the City of Detroit)	
79.	07/03/13 - DTMI00084320 - 84321, (letter from counsel to the City of Detroit to	

	AFSCME)	
80.	07/04/13 - DTMI00109900 -109901, (Email from Dana Gorman to Bill Nowling attaching Communications Rollout)	Hearsay
81.	07/08/13 - Dep. Ex. 7, SOM200003601, (Email re: Detroit and Pension Cuts) Deposition of Richard Baird, October 10, 2013	Hearsay
82.	07/08/13 - SOM20010097, (Email from Bill Nowling to Governor's Office Attaching July 4, 2013 Spreadsheet entitled "Chapter 9 Communications Rollout")	Hearsay
83.	07/18/13 - (Order No. 10, issued by the EM on July 8, 2013, suspends the Detroit Charter's requirement for filling vacancies on City Council)	
84.	07/09/13 - SOM20010234, (Email from Treasurer Andy Dillon to the Governor and other Individuals in the Governor's Office)	Hearsay
85.	07/09/13 - Dep. Ex. 8, SOM200003657, (email re: Detroit and Referencing Meeting Keyvn Orr to have with pensions) Deposition of Richard Baird, October 10, 2013	Hearsay
86.	07/11/13 - DMTI00104215-104217, (Email from Dave Home to Kenneth Buckfire forwarding pre-read for call	Hearsay

	regarding options for protecting art)	
87.	07/16/13 - DTMI00099244 - 99255, (Emergency Manager Recommendation of Chapter 9 Filing)	
88.	07/17/13 - DTMI00128729-128730, (Email from Ken Buckfire regarding the deal reached between the City and its swap counterparties)	Hearsay
89.	07/17/13 - DTFOTA0000001 - 8, (Ernst & Young Amendment No. 7 to Professional Services Contract with City of Detroit)	
90.	07/18/13 - DTMI00116442 - 116445, (Governor's Authorization of Chapter 9 Filing)	
91.	07/18/13 - Decl. Ex. A (Temporary Restraining Order dated July 18, 2013) Kreisberg Declaration, August 19, 2013	
92.	07/19/13 - Ex. B (Order of Declaratory Judgment dated July 19, 2013) Kreisberg Declaration, August 19, 2013	
93.	07/19/13 - DTMI00116442-116445, (email re: High Priority with attached July 18, 2013 Letter re Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding)	
94.	08/06/13 - AFSCME000000050, (Kreisberg letter to counsel to the City of Detroit) (no attachment)	Relevance
95.	08/08/13 - AFSCME000000045 - 46,	Relevance

	(letter from counsel to the City of Detroit to AFSCME)	
96.	09/11/13 - Ex. 14, (Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring Presentation) Deposition of Kevyn Orr, September 16, 2013	Relevance
97.	09/13/13 - DTFOTA1 – 153, (Letter from Jones Day to Caroline Turner attaching documents relied upon in Buckfire and Malhotra Depositions) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	
98.	10/09/13 - Ex. 11, (Email Subject: High Priority) Deposition of Governor Richard Snyder, October 9, 2013	
99.	DTMI00117210 -117215, (Detroit City Council Rationale for Appeal)	Authentication; Relevance
100.	Ex. 18, (City Government Restructuring Program Hot Items) Deposition of Kenneth A. Buckfire, September 20, 2013	Authentication; Hearsay
101.	NERD Tax Return	Authentication; Hearsay; Relevance
102.	09/16/13 - Ex. B, (Deposition Transcript of Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr September 16, 2013) Declaration of Michael Artz.	
103.	10/04/13 - Ex. E, (Transcript of continued deposition testimony given by Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr) Declaration of Michael Artz.	

104.	10/09/13 - Ex. A, (Deposition Transcript of Governor Richard Snyder) Declaration of Michael Artz.	
105.	09/20/13 - Ex. C, (Deposition Transcript of Guarav Malhotra) Declaration of Michael Artz.	Hearsay
106.	09/18/13 - Ex. D, (Deposition of Charles Moore) Declaration of Michael Artz.	Hearsay

III. Deposition Designations

The AFSCME hereby submits the following deposition designations

9/16/13 10/4/13 Kevyn Orr deposition:

p. 18, L. 12 - p. 21, L. 20

p. 21, L. 3-6

p. 24, L. 24 – 25

p. 31, L. 5

p. 137, L. 25 – p. 138, L. 8

p. 220, L. 19 - p. 221, L. 10

p. 237, L. 15 – p. 237, L. 5

p. 252, L. 25 – p. 253, L. 16

p. 288, L. 2-9

p. 301, L. 19 – p. 302, L. 8

p. 377, L. 1 – p. 380, L. 13

9/20/13 Gaurav Malhotra deposition:

p. 44, L. 21 – p. 45, L. 17

p. 86, L. 20 – 23

9/18/13 Charles Moore deposition:

p. 62, L. 2-7

p. 63, L. 10-12

10/9/13 Governor Richard Snyder deposition:

- p. 46, L. 3 23
- p. 52, L. 13 15
- p. 63, L. 9 p. 64, L. 18

10/10/13 Treasurer Andrew Dillon deposition

- p. 63, L. 5 p. 66, L. 1
- p. 68, L. 23 p. 71, L. 12
- p. 119, L. 1 p. 121, L. 17

10/10/13 Andrew Baird deposition:

- p. 13, L. 11-p. 15, L. 10
- p. 16, L. 2 18
- p. 19, L. 2 20

10/14/13 Mayor Dave Bing deposition:

- p. 12, L. 7 p. 13, L. 24
- p. 14, L. 9 21
- p. 20, L. 19 24
- p. 45, L. 24 p. 46, L. 10
- p. 76, L. 2 p. 68, L. 9
- p. 69, L. 8 p. 70, L. 25
- p. 100, L. 15 p. 101, L. 13
- p. 103, L. 15 p. 106, L. 6
- p. 106, L. 11 p. 108, L. 9
- p. 107, L. 16 p. 108, L. 1

ATTACHMENT E

THE UAW'S AND *FLOWERS* PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION

THE UAW'S AND *FLOWERS* PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

I. Witness List

- A. The UAW and Flowers hereby submit this consolidated list of individuals who will be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Michael Nicholson Subject: City's pre-petition meetings with stakeholders and status of the employees and retirees of the Detroit Public Library
 - 2. Jack Dietrich history of bargaining between UAW Local 2211 and City
 - 3. Janet Whitson –impact of pension cuts on retirees, including Detroit Public Library Retirees
 - 4. Michigan Governor Rick Snyder motivation for Chapter 9 filings and dealings between Emergency Manager and state officials
 - 5. Michigan Treasurer Andy Dillon motivation for Chapter 9 filings and dealings between Emergency Manager and state officials
 - 6. Michigan Transformation Manager Rick Baird motivation for Chapter 9 filings and dealings between Emergency Manager and state officials
- C. The UAW hereby reserves the right to call as witnesses any witness called by any other party.

II. Exhibit List

The UAW hereby submits this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

UAW Exhibit No.	<u>Exhibit</u>	Objections
1.	Orr deposition Exh. 21 (Jones Day 1/29/13 pitchbook)	
2.	Orr deposition Ex. 1, JD-RD-0000113 (email chain)	
3.	Orr deposition Ex. 2, JD-RD-000303 (email chain)	
4.	Orr deposition Ex. 3, JD-RD-0000300-302 (email chain)	
5.	Orr deposition Ex. 6, JD-RD-0000216-218 (email chain)	
6.	Orr deposition Ex. 8, (no Bates stamp) (5/12/13 EM Financial and Operating Plan)	
7.	Orr deposition Ex. 9 (6/14/13 Proposal for Creditors)	
8.	Orr deposition Ex. 10 (no Bates stamp) (7/16/13 EM letter to Governor)	
9.	Orr deposition Ex. 11 (no Bates stamp) (7/18/13 Governor letter to EM)	
10.	Orr's 7/18/13 declaration [Docket No. 11]	
11.	Orr deposition Ex. 17, City's responses to Retirement System's Admissions Requests [Docket No. 15]	
12.	Orr deposition Ex. 18, DTMI00082699 (6/27/13 Jones Day letter to John Cunningham)	
13.	7/8/13 email from Treasurer Dillon to Governor Snyder, (SOM20003601)	Hearsay
14.	Buckfire deposition Ex. 13, DTM00103931-932 (Email chain)	Hearsay
15.	Lamont Satchel deposition Ex. 18 (June	Hearsay

	20, 2013 proposal).	
16.	Rick Snyder Dep. Ex. 10, City of Detroit	Hearsay
	Chapter 9 Communications Rollout Plan	
17.	Rick Snyder Dep. Ex. 9, 7/9/13 email	Hearsay
	from Dillon to Snyder	
18.	Rick Snyder Dep. Ex. 8, 7/8/13 email	Hearsay
	from Dillon to Snyder	
19.	Rick Snyder Dep. Ex. 7	Hearsay
20.	Rick Snyder Dep. Ex. 6	Hearsay
21.	Rich Baird deposition Ex. 5 2/20/13	Relevance
	email from Baird to Orr	
22.	Rich Baird deposition Ex. 6, 2/22/13	Relevance
	email from Baird to Orr	
23.	Andy Dillon deposition Ex. 5, 7/19/email	Hearsay
24.	Andy Dillon deposition Ex. 7, 3/2/12	Hearsay;
	email	Relevance
25.	UAW document production bates-	
	stamped 302-303 (Michael Nicholson	
	question cards)	
26.	7/18/13 Michael Nicholson affidavit,	Hearsay;
	with attachments A and B	Relevance

III. DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The UAW hereby submits the following deposition designations:

9/16/13 Kevyn Orr deposition:

p.17; L.15-18

p.25, L.23 - p.26, L.18

p.29, L.6 - 12

p.40, L.1 – L.14

p.69, L.16 – p.70, L.2

$$p.74, L.6 - 9$$

$$p.94, L.8 - 17$$

$$p.99, L.6 - 15$$

$$p.105$$
, $L.18 - p.108$, $L.7$

$$p.110, L.20 - p.111, L.5$$

$$p.113, L.13 - 22$$

$$p.124$$
, $L.10 - p.125$, $L.3$

$$p.128, L.9 - 11$$

$$p.129, L.14 - 18$$

$$p.136$$
, $L.18 - p.137$, $L.1$

$$p.155$$
, $L.1 - p.156$, $L.22$

$$p.168, L.5 - p.170, L.9$$

$$p.182, L.9 - 21$$

p.185, L.10-23

p.189, L.14-16

p.220, L.19 - p.221, L.10

p.222, L.13 – p.223, L.21

p.225, L.16 – p.226, L.5

p.239, L.7 - 15

p.246, L.12 - p.247, L.7

p.252, L.16 – p.253, L.6

p.257, L.17 - 20

p.260, L.8 - 21

p.261, L.21 - p.262, L.4

p.262, L.16 - 23

p.263, L.22 – p.264, L.19

p.267, L.11 - p.268, L.1

p.273, L.6 -17

p.361, L.7-20

p.374, L.9 - p.375, L.7

p.376, L.12-21

p.379, L.21 - p.380, L.20

p.383, L.3 - L.6

p.385, L.1-7

p.408, L.6 - p.419, L.7

p.422, L.17 – p.423, L.7

p.427, L.11 – p.428, L.11

p.429, L.16 – 21

p.446, L.1 - p.447, L.10

p.478, L.7 – p.479, L.1

p.479, L.13 – p.479, L.21

9/20/13 Gaurav Malhotra deposition

p.54, L.22 – p.5, L.12

p.56, L.9 – p.57, L.1

9/18/13 and 10/4/13: Charles Moore deposition

p. 61 L. 18 - p. 62 L.7

p. 65 L. 12 – 23

p. 134 L. 23 - p. 135 L 16

p. 140 L. 16 - p. 141 L. 22

p. 150 L. 16 - p. 152 L. 21

9/20/13: Kenneth Buckfire

p. 67; L 13 - p. 68 L.11

p. 191 L.22 - p. 193 L. 9

p. 194 L. 10 – 24

p. 195 L.12-17

p. 198 L 4- 12.

p. 211 L. 16 - p. 212 L.3

p. 225 L. 10 - p. 226 L. 4

9/24/13: Glenn Bowen

p. 34 L. 8 -21

p. 35 L. 12 - p. 36 l. 4

p. 43 L. 15 - p. 44 L. 8.

9/19/13 Lamont Satchel

p. 11 L. 11 -p. 14 L. 13

p. 54 L. 11 – p. 55 L. 20

p. 58 L. 17 – p. 59 L. 15

p. 61 L. 2 – p. 63 L. 5

p. 65 L. 13 – p. 66 L. 1

p. 68 L. 1 – L. 10

p. 69 L. 4- 72 p. 7

p. 72 L. 8 – p. 73 L. 1

p. 79 L. 2 - 81 L. 16

p. 82 L. 9 – p 84 L. 22

p. 86 L. 12- p. 87.2

p. 88 L. 13-19.

Deposition transcript of Howard Ryan, Treasury Department of Michigan, Director of Office of Legislative Affairs (in its entirety)

IV. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

UAW and the *Flowers* Plaintiffs anticipate filing motions challenging certain assertions of privilege made by the City and/or by the State. Should the Court as a result of such motions find that the City and/or State improperly withheld testimony or documents, the UAW and *Flowers* Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement or modify their exhibit and witness lists and statement of claim.

ATTACHMENT F

THE DETROIT PUBLIC SAFETY UNIONS'
CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST,
(2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

THE DETROIT PUBLIC SAFETY UNIONS' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The Detroit Public Safety Unions, consisting of the Detroit Fire Fighters Association (the "DFFA"), the Detroit Police Officers Association (the "DPOA"), the Detroit Police Lieutenants & Sergeants Association (the "DPLSA") and the Detroit Police Command Officers Association (the "DPCOA") through their counsel, Erman, Teicher Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C., submit the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

- A. The Detroit Public Safety Unions' hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - Daniel F. McNamara (see Declaration, Dkt. 512-6)
 c/o Erman, Teicher Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C
 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444
 Southfield, MI 48034
 Telephone: (248) 827-4100
- Mr. McNamara will testify about his duties as president of the DFFA, his responsibilities and the responsibilities of the DFFA on behalf of its members, and his dealings with representatives of the City prior to and after the filing of the chapter 9 petition. In particular, he will testify about correspondence with Lamont Satchel that addressed the termination of 2009 2013 Collective Bargaining Agreement effective 11:59 p.m. June 30, 2013; the City's terms and conditions of employment following the expiration of the CBA; and follow up meetings. Mr. McNamara will testify about the City's unilateral imposition of wage cuts, cuts to health care benefits and pension restructuring proposals, and that there were no negotiations between the City and the DFFA, despite the DFFA's willingness to participate at meetings.

Mark Diaz (see Declaration, Dkt. 512-1)
 c/o Erman, Teicher Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C
 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444
 Southfield, MI 48034
 Telephone: (248) 827-4100

Mr. Diaz will testify about his duties as president, his responsibilities and the responsibilities of the DPOA on behalf of its members, and his efforts to negotiate and arbitrate labor matters with the City. In particular, Mr. Diaz will testify about the Act 312 Arbitration and the awards that were issued as a result of same. He will testify that the City's lack of negotiations; the City's announcement of its intention to impose new health care plans on the DPOA and other Public Safety Unions which significantly increase the members' out of pocket medical costs; and about the "informational meetings" in June and July 2013, at which representatives from Jones Day presented very general outlines of the City's restructuring proposal.

3. Mark Young (see Declaration, Dkt. 512-7) c/o Erman, Teicher Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444 Southfield, MI 48034 Telephone: (248) 827-4100

Mr. Young will testify about his duties as president, his responsibilities and the responsibilities of the DPLSA on behalf of its members. Mr. Young will testify about the DPLSA Feb. 4, 2013 Petition for Act 312 arbitration and the subsequent action of the City claiming it was not obligated to engage in bargaining under the Public Employment Relations Act, MCL 423.201 et seq as a result of Section 27(3) of Public Act 436; the decision of the MERC on July 14, 2013 granting the City's motion to dismiss the Act 312 arbitration; and the City's subsequent statements that it had no obligation to bargain with the DPLSA. He will also testify about the City's actions in June and July 2013 relative to the termination of the CBA and the City's intent to impose changes to wages, benefits and working conditions, and correspondence with Lamont Satchel, the City Labor Relations Director. Mr. Young will testify about presentations made by the City in June and July 2013 relative to pension restructuring and health plan changes for DPLSA members, and other meetings with the City/Emergency Manager to talk about employment issues

for DPLSA members, and the City's statement that the meetings should not be categorized as negotiations.

4. Mary Ellen Gurwitz (see Declaration, Dkt. 512-8) c/o Erman, Teicher Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444 Southfield, MI 48034 Telephone: (248) 827-4100

Ms. Gurewitz will testify about the lack of negotiations between the DPCOA and the City and the terms that have been imposed by the City, and, in particular, the lack of negotiations with the City prior to the chapter 9 filing.

- B. The Detroit Public Safety Unions' hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who may be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Jeffrey M. Pegg, Vice President, DFFA Local 344
 - 2. Teresa Sanderfer, Secretary, DFFA Local 344 Committee Member
 - 3. Robert A. Shinske, Treasurer, DFFA Local 344
 - 4. Linda Broden, Sergeant at Arms, DPOA RDPFFA
 - 5. Rodney Sizemore, Vice President
 - 6. Steve Dolunt, President, DPCOA
 - 7. James Moore, Vice president, DPCOA

Each of the Detroit Public Safety Unions reserves the right to call any witness listed by the City, the State of Michigan or by any objecting party.

C. Witnesses from Deposition testimony:

Each of the Detroit Public Safety Unions reserves the right to offer any portion of any deposition designated by any other objecting party.

II. Exhibit List

The Detroit Public Safety Unions' hereby submit this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial: {00200723}56

Public Safety	Exhibit	Objections
Unions'		
Exhibit No.		
1.	DFFA letter dated July 12, 2013	
2.	Jones Day letter of July 17, 2013	
3.	City of Detroit and Detroit Police	Hearsay;
	Officers Association, MERC Case No.	Relevance
	D12 D-0354 Panel's Findings,	
	Opinion and Orders	
4.	City of Detroit and Detroit Police	Hearsay;
	Officers Association, MERC Case No.	Relevance
	D12 D-0354, Supplemental Award	
5.	City of Detroit v. DPOA MERC Case	Hearsay;
	No.D12 D-0354 Chairman's Partial	Relevance
	Award on Health Insurance	
6.	Letter from Jones Day, Brian West	
	Easley,	
	dated June 14, 2013	
7.	Letter from Jones Day, Brian West	
	Easley,	
	dated June 27, 2013	
8.	DFFA Master Agreement, 2001-2009	
9.	DFFA Act 312 Award, dated	Hearsay;
		Relevance
10.	DFFA Supplemental Act 312 Award,	Hearsay;
	dated	Relevance
11.	DFFA Temporary Agreement	Hearsay;
		Relevance
12.	DPLSA Master Agreement, 2009	
13.	DPCOA Master Agreement,	
14.	DPCOA Temporary Agreement	Hearsay;
		Relevance
15.	City of Detroit v. DPOA MERC Case	Hearsay;
	No.D09 F-0703 Decision and Order	Relevance

Each of the Detroit Public Safety Unions reserves the right to rely on any portion of any Exhibit offered into evidence by the City, the State or any other objecting party.

ATTACHMENT G

THE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

THE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS' CONSOLIDATED (1) WITNESS LIST, (2) EXHIBIT LIST AND (3) DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

The Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit ("PFRS") and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit ("GRS," and together with PFRS, the "Retirement Systems"), through their counsel, Clark Hill PLC, hereby submits the following Consolidated (1) Witness List, (2) Exhibit List and (3) Deposition Designations:

I. Witness List

- A. The Retirement Systems hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who will be called as witnesses via deposition and/or live testimony in the eligibility trial:
 - 1. Kevyn D. Orr, Emergency Manager for the City of Detroit
 - 2. Andrew Dillon, Michigan Treasurer (via deposition or live)
 - 3. Richard Snyder, Michigan Governor (via deposition or live)
 - 4. Kenneth Buckfire, Miller Buckfire (via deposition or live)
- B. The Retirement Systems hereby submit this consolidated witness list of individuals who may be called as witnesses in the eligibility trial:

 $\{00200723\}60$

- 1. Glenn Bowen, Milliman Principal and Consulting Actuary Glenn Bowen (via deposition)
- 2. Lamont Satchel, Michigan Labor Relations Director Lamont Satchel (via deposition)
- 3. Charles Moore, Conway Mackenzie Managing Director (via deposition)
- 4. Bradley A. Robins, Head of Financing Advisory & Restructuring for North America at Greenhill & Co., LLC
- 5. Eric Mendelsohn, Managing Director of Greenhill & Co., LLC
- 6. David Bing, Mayor for the City of Detroit (via deposition)
- 7. Howard Ryan, State of Michigan 30(b)(6) Witness (via deposition)
- C. The Retirement Systems hereby reserves the right to call as a witness any witness identified by any other party, regardless of whether such witness is called to testify.
- D. The Retirement Systems hereby reserves the right to call as a witness any rebuttal and/or impeachment and/or foundation witness as necessary.

II. Exhibit List

The Retirement Systems hereby submits this consolidated exhibit list of evidence that will or may be used as evidence during the eligibility trial:

Retirement	<u>Exhibit</u>	Objections
Systems		
Exhibit No.		
1.	OrrDep. Ex. 5, M.C.L.A. Const. Art.	
	9, § 24	
2.	01/29/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 21,	
	DTMI00128731–805 (Jones Day	
	1/29/13 Pitchbook)	
3.	01/30/13 - OrrDep. Ex. 1, JD-RD-	
	0000113 (email chain)	

 $\{00200723\}61 \\ _{81260586 \backslash V\text{-}1}$

	01/01/10 0 D E 4 ID DD	
4.	01/31/13 – OrrDep. Ex. 4, JD–RD–	
	0000295–96 (email chain)	
5.	01/31/13 – OrrDep. Ex. 3, JD–RD–	
	0000300–02 (email chain)	
6.	01/31/13 – OrrDep Ex. 2, JD–RD–	
	0000303 (email chain)	
7.	02/13/13 – OrrDep. Ex. 20, JD–RD–	
	0000334–36 (email chain)	
8.	02/15/13 – OrrDep. Ex. 25, JD–RD–	Authentication;
	0000354–55 (email chain)	Hearsay
9.	02/20/13 - OrrDep Ex. 6, JD-RD-	
	0000216–18 (email chain)	
10.	02/22/13 - OrrDep. Ex. 7, JD-RD-	
	0000459–64 (email chain)	
11.	03/2013 – Orr Dep. Ex. 22,	
	DTMI00129416 (Restructuring Plan)	
12.	05/12/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 8, (Financial	
	and Operating Plan)	
13.	06/14/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 9, Dkt. 438–	
	16 (City of Detroit Proposal for	
	Creditors)	
14.	06/27/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 18,	
	DTMI00082699 (letter Re: City of	
	Detroit Restructuring)	
15.	07/12/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 12, Dkt.	
	512–6 (letter Re: City of Detroit	
	Pension Restructuring)	
16.	07/17/13 - Orr Dep. Ex. 13, Dkt.	
	512–6 (letter Re: City of Detroit	
	Pension Restructuring)	
17.	07/16/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 10, Dkt. 11–	
	10 (letter Re: Recommendation	
	Pursuant to Section 18(I) of PA 436)	
18.	07/18/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 11, Dkt. 11–	
	11 (letter Re: Authorization to	
	Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy	
	Proceeding)	
19.	09/11/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 14, (Retiree	
	Legacy Cost Restructuring	
L		

	Presentation)	
20.	09/13/13 – Orr Dep. Ex. 17, Dkt. 849	
	(City of Detroit Objections and	
	Responses to Detroit Retirement	
	Systems' Frist Requests for	
	Admission Directed to the City of	
	Detroit	
21.	11/16/12 – Bowen Dep. Ex. 9,	
	DTMI00066269–74 (letterRe: DGRS	
	Simple Projection)	
22.	05/20/13 – Bowen Dep. Ex. 10	
	DTMI00066285 (Letter Re: DGRS	
	Simple 10–Year Projection of Plan	
	Freeze and No Future COLA	
23.	05/21/13 – Bowen Dep Ex. 11, (letter	
	from G. Bowen to E. Miller Re:	
	PFRS Simple 10-Year Projection of	
	Plan Freeze and No Future COLA	
24.	09/24/13 – Bowen Dep. Ex. 4,	
	DTMI00066176–90 (letter Re: PFRS	
	Simple 10-Year Projection of Plan	
	Freeze and No Future COLA)	
25.	09/24/13 – Bowen Dep. Ex. 14, (letter	
	Re: One-Year Service Cancellation	
	for DRGS and PFRS)	
26.	06/14/13 – Satchel Dep. Ex. 19, Dkt.	
	438–7(letter Re: Retiree Benefit	
	Restructuring Meeting)	
27.	06/17/13 – Satchel Dep. Ex. 20, Dkt.	
	438–6 (letter Re: Request from	
	EFMfor additional information)	
28.	06/21/13 – Satchel Dep. Ex. 18,	
	DTMI00078573 (email attaching	
	6/20/13 Retiree Legacy Cost	
	Restructuring)	
29.	07/02/13 – Dkt. 438–9 (letter from S.	
	Kreisberg to B. Easterly Re: Request	
	for Information)	
30.	07/03/13 – Dkt. 438–10 (letter from	

	B. Eastley to S. Kreisberg Re: City of	
	Detroit Restructuring)	
31.		Hearsay
	Nowling to Governor's staff	
	regarding timeline (SOM20010097-	
	100, plus unnumbered timeline	
	attachment)	
32.	07/17/2013 – Timeline/City of	Hearsay
	Detroit Chapter 9 Communications	
	Rollout Plan (Snyder Dep 6,	
	SOM20001331, plus unnumbered	
	attachment)	
33.	01/29/2013 – Baird Dep. Ex. 1 –	
	Presentation to the City of Detroit,	
	Jones Day (DTMI00128731–805)	
34.	07/09/2013 – Dillon Dep. Ex. 5 –	Hearsay
	Email A. Dillon to R. Snyder, D.	
	Muchmore, R. Baird re: Detroit	
	(SOM20010234)	
35.	04/15/2013 – Email T. Stanton to B.	Hearsay
	Stibitz re: crains (SOM20009880)	
36.	03/13/2013 – Email A. Dillon to T.	Hearsay
	Saxton, B. Stibitz, F. Headen re: KO	
	(SOM20009255–56)	- · · · ·
37.	02/27/2013 – Email J. Martin to C.	•
	Ball (cc: A. Dillon, K. Buckfire) re:	_
	Solicitation for Restructuring Legal	Product
20	Counsel (DTMI00234545)	**
38.	05/12/2013 – Vickie Thomas CBS	Hearsay
	Detroit report re Detroit EM Releases	
	Financial Plan; City Exceeding	
20	Budget By \$100M Annually	
39.	05/12/2013 – Financial and Operating	
	Plan, City of Detroit, Office of	
40	Emergency Manager, Kevyn D. Orr	TT
40.	03/25/2012 – Email L. Marcero to K.	Hearsay
	Buckfire, etc. re: FW: Comments to	
	draft from the City 3/23	
	(DTMI00234777–78)	

41.	03/29/2012 – L. Marcero to K.	Hearsay	
	Buckfire, et al. re: FW: Revised		
	Agreement (DTMI00234774–76)		
42.	05/20/2012 – H. Sawyer to K.	Hearsay	
	Buckfire, et al. re: Detroit Update		
	(DTMI00234763–64)		
43.	6/5/2012 K. Herman to K. Buckfire,	Hearsay	
	et al. re: Detroit consent agreement		
	lawsuite to be heard by Ingham		
	County Judge Collette		
	(DTMI00234761–62)		
44.	6/5/2012 - T. Wilson to H. Lennox	_	
	re: meeting with Governor and	_	Work
	conversation with K. Buckfire and	Product	
	Memos for Andy Dillon		
	(DTMI00233348–49)		
45.	3/24/2012 Email to Ken Buckfire	Hearsay	
	from L. Marcero (DTMI00234796—		
	798)		
46.	3/2/2012 – Email RE: PA 4 and	Hearsay	
	Consent Agreement (Dillon Ex. 6,		
4.7	DTMI0023878–80)	D : 11 1	
47.	12/5/2012—Email K. Buckfire to C.	Privileged	VV1 -
	Ball, et al. (DTMI00234741–48)		Work
48.	6/27/2013 Email from Tom Saxton	Product	
46.		Hearsay	
49.	and Terry Stanton (SOM20002871) 3/3/2012 Email to Andy Dillon	Hearsay	
49.	(Dillon Ex. 7, DTMI00234877)	Ticarsay	
50.	3/7/2012 Email to Ken Buckfire	Privileged	
30.	(DTMI00234867–234871)		Work
	(25 1007 25 1071)	Product	WOIR
51.	3/24/2012 Email RE: Andy Dillon	Privileged	
	and Ch. 9 (DTMI00234799–800)		Work
	(= ====================================	Product	
52.	3/24/2012 Email to Ken Buckfire RE:	Hearsay	
	Meeting w/ Dillon RE: PA, PA 72,	1	
	Ch. 9 filing (DTMI00234796–		
	234798)		

53.	1/28/2013 Email to Orr RE: RFP (DTMI00235165–66)	Hearsay
54.	11/21/2012 Email to Ken Buckfire	Hearsay
	(Buckfire Dep. Ex. B13,	
	DTMI00103933-34)	
55.	1/30/2013 Email to K. Orr RE: RFP	Hearsay
	by MB (DTMI00234685)	
56.	3/22/2013 Treasury Email RE:	Hearsay
	Milliman report (Dillon Exhibit 8,	
	SOM20009920–9921)	
57.	3/5/2012 Email to Andy Dillon	Privileged
	(DMTI00231930)	Attorney Work
		Product
58.	7/8/2013 Email from Dillon to	Hearsay
	Governor (Baird Dep Ex. 7,	
	SOM20003601)	
59.	3/10/2012 Email to K. Buckfire	Privileged
	(DTMI00234852–863)	Attorney Work
(0)	1/20/2012 F 11 / 1/ 0 PF	Product
60.	1/28/2013 Email to K. Orr RE:	Privileged
	Detroit Ch. 9 (DTMI00234687)	Attorney Work
(1	1/20/2012 Email to W. Om DE, DED	Product
61.	1/30/2013 Email to K. Orr RE: RFP	Hearsay
62.	Process (DTMI00234684–86) 3/24/2012 Email to K. Buckfire RE:	Drivilaged
02.		Privileged Attorney Work
	Update on Meeting with State Today (DTMI00234779–4788)	Attorney Work Product
63.	3/22/3012 Email to Andy Dillon and	Hearsay
05.	K. Buckfire (DTMI00234814)	Ticarsay
64.	3/27/2012 Email to Chuck Moore	Hearsay
UT.	(DTMI00235061)	Tionismy
65.	2/11/2013 Email to K. Orr RE: Ch. 9	Privileged
	filing (DTMI00235163)	Attorney Work
	3 (=)	Product
66.	1/15/2013 Email to K. Orr	Privileged
	(DTM100235218)	Attorney Work
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Product
1	•	

III. Deposition Designations

 $\substack{\{00200723\}66\\81260586\backslash V-1}$

The Retirement Systems hereby submits the following deposition

designations:

09/16/13 Kevyn Orr

```
p. 10, L. 23 – p. 11, L. 14
```

p. 12, L.
$$1 - p. 13$$
, L. 25

p. 21, L.
$$3 - 20$$

p.
$$21$$
, L. $21 - 24$

p. 23, L.
$$24 - 25$$

p. 26, L.
$$20 - 25$$

p.
$$32$$
, L. $14 - 23$

p. 33, L.
$$5 - 13$$

$$p. 48, L. 1 - p. 49, L. 8$$

p. 54, L.
$$2 - 5$$

p. 55, L.
$$8 - 17$$

 $\{00200723\}67$

81260586\V-1

```
p. 71, L. 17 – p. 78, L. 5
```

- p. 78, L. 21
- p. 79, L. 2 6
- p. 79, L. 16 p. 80, L. 8
- p. 80, L. 25 p. 82, L. 23
- p. 82, L. 25 p. 83, L. 3
- p. 83, L. 16 p. 84, L. 2
- p. 84, L. 13 16
- p. 84, L. 18 24
- p. 85, L. 19 p. 86, L.1
- p. 86, L. 16 p. 95, L. 1
- p. 95, L. 6 p. 96, L. 6
- p. 96, L. 25 p. 108, L. 7
- p. 110, L. 12 p. 119, L. 10
- p. 119, L. 20 p. 120, L. 16
- p. 120, L. 19 p. 121, L. 12
- p. 122, L. 7 p. 123, L. 14
- p. 123, L. 17 p. 125, L. 10
- p. 125, L. 24 p. 127, L. 4
- p. 127, L. 24 p. 130, L. 23
- p. 129:14-18
- p. 132, L. 12 p. 133, L. 25
- p. 134, L. 3 p. 135 L. 4
- p. 136, L. 18 p. 137, L. 1
- p. 137, L. 12 p. 144, L. 23
- p. 145, L. 25 p. 146, L. 10
- p. 147, L. 19 25
- p. 148, L. 16 p. 153, L. 8
- p. 166, L. 12 24
- p. 168, L. 5 p. 172, L. 4
- p. 172, L. 19 p. 176, L. 20
- p. 177, L. 21 p. 178, L. 1
- p. 179, L. 2 p. 185, L. 23
- p. 187, L. 3 p. 190, L. 12
- p. 192, L. 2 8
- p. 215, L. 13 24
- p. 247, L. 1 7
- p. 248, L. 15 p. 249, L. 5
- p. 251, L. 16 18
- p. 252, L. 4-5

{00200723}68

```
p. 252, L. 12 – p. 253, L. 6
```

p. 260, L.
$$8 - 21$$

p.
$$280$$
, L. $23 - 25$

10/04/13 Kevin Orr Deposition Designations

p. 328, L.
$$4 - p$$
. 329, L. 3

p. 419, L.
$$2-7$$

p.
$$489$$
, L. $8 - 22$

09/19/13 LamontSatchelDepositionDesignations

{00200723}69

```
p. 65, L. 7 – p. 66, L. 9
```

09/24/13 Glen David Bowen DepositionDesignations

p. 12, L.
$$7 - 9$$

p. 19, L.
$$12 - 20$$

p. 34, L.
$$8 - 21$$

p. 73, L.
$$7 - 21$$

p. 93, L.
$$4 - 14$$

p. 99, L.
$$9 - 17$$

p.
$$100$$
, L. $18 - 22$

p.
$$142$$
, L. $8 - 10$

p. 149, L.
$$2 - 3$$

p. 150, L.
$$5 - 15$$

p. 198, L.
$$5-7$$

 $\{00200723\}70$

09/18/13 Charles M. Moore DepositionDesignations

```
p. 8, L. 4 - 8
p. 12, L. 3 - 6
p. 36, L. 9 - 12
p. 50, L. 2 - p. 51, L. 1
p. 51, L. 10 – 17
p. 52, L. 5 - 20
p. 53, L. 25 – p. 54, L. 11
p. 61, L. 18 – p. 62, L. 7
p. 62, L. 25 – p. 63, L. 12
p. 64, L. 6-7
p. 64, L. 9 – 14
p. 64, L. 16 – 20
p. 65, L. 4 - 11
p. 70, L. 16 – 18
p. 91, L. 20 - 23
p. 110, L. 12 – 22
p. 126, L. 22 – p. 127, L. 14
p. 130, L. 25 – p. 131, L. 14
p. 138, L. 7 – p. 139, L. 9
p. 140, L. 16 – p. 141, L. 2
p. 141, L. 8 – 19
p. 150, L. 24 – p. 151, L. 5
p. 151, L. 7 - 18
p. 151, L. 20 – p. 152, L. 1
p. 152, L. 8 - 21
p. 156, L. 18 – 25
```

Andrew Dillon DepositionDesignations (10/10/2013)

```
p. 40, L. 14–23

p.63, L. 17 – p. 66, L. 1

p. 68, L. 23 – p. 71, L. 12

p. 84, L. 20 – p. 88, L. 23

p. 89, L. 15–22 – p. 91, L. 10 – 22

p. 107, L. 18 – p. 110, L. 4

p. 110, L. 23 – p. 111, L. 1–18

p. 112, L. 16 – p. 114, L. 25

{00200723}71
```

```
p. 119, L. 1 – p. 120, L. 14
```

Governor Snyder Deposition Designations (10/9/2013)

p. 43, L.
$$22 - p. 44$$
, L. $1 - 5$

Howard Ryan, State of Michigan 30(b)(6) Witness:

David Bing, Mayor for the City of Detroit:

 $\{00200723\}72$

- p. 60, L. 11 p. 61, L. 8
- p. 67, L. 2–25
- p. 9, L. 17–19
- p. 12, L. 7 p. 13, L. 7
- p. 20, L. 19–21/p. 20, L. 23–24
- p. 69, L. 14 p. 70, L. 4
- p. 112, L. 13–21
- p. 116, L. 17 p. 117, L. 11

Kenneth A. Buckfire, 9/20/2013

- p. 11, L.20 p. 12, L. 17
- p. 14, L. 18 p. 17, L. 5
- p. 24, L. 21 pg. 26, L 17
- p. 28, L. 18 pg. 33, L. 19
- p. 34, L. 3 L. 16
- p. 35, L. 20 p. 36, L. 14
- p. 56, L. 8 p. 57, L. 2.
- p. 59, L. 2 p. 69, L. 11
- p. 72, L. 3 19
- p. 75, L. 4 p. 77, L. 21
- p. 83, L. 6 23
- p. 95, L. 2 p. 13
- p. 96, L. 19 p. 97, L. 6
- p. 98, L. 9 p. 99, L. 2
- p. 101, L. 7 p. 102, L. 15
- p. 106, L. 21 p. 100, L. 16
- p. 134, L. 3 p. 136, L. 5
- p. 148, L. 8 p. 150, L. 8
- p. 151, L. 8 p. 155, L. 9
- p. 165, L. 9 p. 167, L. 23
- p. 182, L. 15 p. 184, L. 4
- p. 191, L. 4 p. 195, L. 15
- p. 196, L. 15 p. 198, L. 21
- p. 202, L. 8 L. 22
- p. 211, L. 16 p. 212, L. 3

ATTACHMENT H

OBJECTORS' OBJECTIONS TO THE CITY OF DETROIT DEBTOR'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Objectors jointly submit the following objections to The City of

Detroit, Michigan (the "City's"), list of exhibits:

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
1.	Charter – City of Detroit [DTMI00230808-0933]	
2.	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008 [DTMI00230934-1157]	
3.	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009 [DTMI00231158-1378]	
4.	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010 [DTMI00230335-0571]	
5.	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 [DTMI00230572-0807]	
6.	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012 [DTMI00231379-1623]	

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
7.	November 13, 2012, Memorandum of Understanding City of Detroit Reform Program [DTMI00222996-3010]	
8.	July 18, 2013 Declaration of Gaurav Malhotra in Support of the Debtor's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Malhotra Declaration")	Hearsay; Expert opinion
9.	Cash Flow Forecasts [Malhotra Declaration Ex. A]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
10.	Ten-Year Projections [Malhotra Declaration Ex. B]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
11.	Legacy Expenditures (Assuming No Restructuring) [Malhotra Declaration Ex. C]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
12.	Schedule of the sewage disposal system bonds and related state revolving loans as of June 30, 2012 [Malhotra Declaration Ex. D]	
13.	Schedule of water system bonds and related state revolving loans as of June 30, 2012 [Malhotra Declaration Ex. E]	
14.	Annual Debt Service on Revenue Bonds [Malhotra Declaration Ex. F]	
15.	Schedule of COPs and Swap Contracts as of June 30, 2012 [Malhotra Declaration Ex. G]	
16.	Annual Debt Service on COPs and Swap Contracts [Malhotra Declaration	

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	Ex. H]	
17.	Schedule of UTGO Bonds as of June 30, 2012 [Malhotra Declaration Ex. I]	
18.	Schedule of LTGO Bonds as of June 30, 2012 [Malhotra Declaration Ex. J]	
19.	Annual Debt Service on General Obligation Debt & Other Liabilities [Malhotra Declaration Ex. K]	
20.	July 18, 2013 Declaration of Kevyn D. Orr In Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Orr Declaration")	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
21.	January 13, 2012, City of Detroit, Michigan Notice of Preliminary Financial Review Findings and Appointment of a Financial Review Team [Orr Declaration Ex. C]	
22.	March 26, 2012, Report of the Detroit Financial Review Team [Orr Declaration Ex. D]	
23.	April 9, 2012, Financial Stability Agreement [Orr Declaration Ex. E]	
24.	December 14, 2012, Preliminary Review of the City of Detroit [Orr Declaration Ex. F]	
25.	February 19, 2013, Report of the Detroit Financial Review Team [Orr	

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	Declaration Ex. G]	
26.	March 1, 2013, letter from Governor Richard Snyder to the City [Orr Declaration Ex. H]	
27.	July 8, 2013, Ambac Comments on Detroit [Orr Declaration Ex. I]	Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance
28.	July 16, 2013, Recommendation Pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436 [Orr Declaration Ex. J]	
29.	July 18, 2013, Authorization to Commence Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Proceeding [Orr Declaration Ex. K]	
30.	July 18, 2013, Emergency Manager Order No. 13 Filing of a Petition Under Chapter 9 of Title 11 of the United States Code [Orr Declaration Ex. L]	
31.	Declaration of Charles M. Moore in Support of City of Detroit, Michigan's Statement of Qualifications Pursuant to Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Moore Declaration")	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
32.	Collection of correspondence between Jones Day and representatives of Unions regarding the representation of current retirees [DTMI00084776-4924]	Hearsay; Authentication; Completeness; Foundation
33.	Chart on verbal communications with Unions regarding the representation of current retirees authored by Samantha Woo	Hearsay; Authentication; Foundation; Legibility; Relevance

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	[DTMI00231920]	
34.	Memorandum to File about communications with Unions regarding the representation of current retirees authored by Samantha Woo dated October 4, 2013 [DTMI00231927-DTMI00231929]	Hearsay; Authentication; Foundation;
35.	Redacted log of meetings and correspondence between the City and its advisors and various creditors prior to July 18, 2013. [DTMI00231921-1926]	
36.	FRE 1006 chart summarizing efforts to negotiate with union creditors. [DTMI-00235448]	Hearsay; Foundation; Authentication
37.	FRE 1006 chart summarizing efforts to negotiate with other creditors. [DTMI-00235447]	Hearsay; Foundation; Authentication
38.	FRE 1006 chart summarizing the City's projected cash flows. [DTMI00235438]	Hearsay; Foundation; Authentication
39.	February 21, 2013 to June 21, 2013 Calendar of Lamont Satchel [DTMI00125142-5183]	Hearsay; Foundation; Authentication; Relevance
40.	List of Special Conferences for Association held with Members of Police Labor Relations [DTMI00125426]	Hearsay; Foundation; Authentication; Relevance
41.	June 10, 2013, City of Detroit Financial and Operating Plan Slides	Hearsay; Authentication; Foundation; Expert opinion

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	[DTMI00224211-4231]	
42.	RSVP List for June 14, 2013 Proposal for Creditors Meeting [DTMI00125427]	
43.	June 14, 2013, City of Detroit Proposal for Creditors [DTMI00227144-7277]	
44.	June 14, 2013 Proposal for Creditors – Executive Summary [DTMI00227278-7342]	
45.	List of Invitees to the June 20, 2013 Meetings [DTMI00128659-8661]	
46.	Sign-in sheets from June 20, 2013, 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (Non-Uniform Retiree Benefits Restructuring) [DTMI00235427-5434]	
47.	Sign-in sheets from June 20, 2013 2:00-4:00 PM (Uniform Retiree Benefits Restructuring) [DTMI00235435-5437]	
48.	June 20, 2013 City of Detroit Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring – Non- Uniform Retirees [DTMI00067906- 7928]	Hearsay; Authentication; Foundation; Expert opinion
49.	June 20, 2013 City of Detroit Retiree Legacy Cost Restructuring – Uniform Retirees [DTMI00067930-7953]	
50.	Invitee List and Sign-in Sheet for the June 25, 2013 Meeting [DTMI00125428-5431]	
51.	Cash Flow Forecasts provided at June 25, 2013 Meeting [DTMI00231905-1919]	Hearsay: Expert opinion; Authentication; Foundation
52.	Composite of emails attaching 63 letters dated June 27, 2013 to	

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	participants of the June 20, 2013 meetings [DTMI00128274-	
	DTMI0012835; DTMI00239435- DTMI0023446]	
53.	List of Attendees at July 9 and 10, 2013 Creditor Meetings [DTMI00231791]	
54.	Detroit Future City Plan 2012 [DTMI00070031-0213]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
55.	Collection of correspondence regarding invitations to the July 10 Pension Meetings and July 11 Retiree Health Meetings [DTMI00235408-5426]	
56.	July 10, 2013 City of Detroit Sign In Sheet for 1:00 PM Pension and Retiree Meeting [DTMI00229088-9090]	
57.	July 10, 2012 City of Detroit Sign In Sheet for 3:30 PM Police and Fire Meeting [DTMI00229091-9094]	
58.	July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Sign-in Sheet for 10:00 AM Non-Uniformed Meeting. [DTMI00229095-9096]	
59.	July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Sign-in Sheet for the 1:30 PM Uniformed Meeting. [DTMI229102-9103]	
60.	July 11, 2013 City of Detroit Union- Retiree Meeting Draft Medicare Advantage Plan Design Options [DTMI00135663]	
61.	Correspondence between representatives of AFSCME and representatives of the City [Ex. F to the City of Detroit's Consolidated Reply to Objections to the Entry of an Order for Relief, Docket No. 765]	
62.	Michigan Attorney General Opinion No. 7272	Relevance; Foundation; Hearsay; Legal opinion

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
63.	July 31, 2013 Notice of Filing Amended List of Creditors Holding 20 Largest Unsecured Claims	
64.	September 30, 2013 Notice of Filing of Second Amended List of Creditors and Claims, Pursuant to Sections 924 and 925 of The Bankruptcy Code	
65.	June 4, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller [DTMI00066292-6307]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
66.	June 4, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller [DTMI00066176-6190]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
67.	June 14, 2013 Letter from Glenn Bowen and Katherine A. Warren to Evan Miller [DTMI00066206-6210]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
68.	June 30, 2011, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company, 73 rd Annual Actuarial Valuation of the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit [DTMI00225546-5596]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
69.	April 2013, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company, Draft 74 th Annual Actuarial Valuation of the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit as of June 30, 2012 [DTMI00225597-5645]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
70.	June 30, 2012, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Co., 71 st Annual Actuarial Valuation of the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit [DTMI	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation

City's Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Objections
	00202414-2461]	
71.	November 8, 2012 Letter from Kenneth G. Alberts to The Retirement Board Police and Fire Retirement System for the City of Detroit [DTMI00202462-2491]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
72.	November 21, 2011 Memorandum from Irvin Corley Jr., to Council Members of the City of Detroit City Council [DTMI00202511-2523]	Hearsay; Expert opinion; Foundation
73.	July 17, 2013 Letter from Evan Miller to representatives of the City of Detroit Police and Firefighters Unions	
74.	July 15, 2013 Quarterly Report with Respect to the Financial Condition of the City of Detroit (period April 1st - June 30th)	
75.	May 12, 2013 City of Detroit, Office of the Emergency Manager, Financial and Operating Plan	