## **Exhibit 6A Excerpts of Deposition of Kenneth Buckfire**

Kenneth Buckfire August 29, 2013

Page 71

Page 69

- 1 A. I would recommend it.
- 2 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- з Q. Okay.
- 4 MR. SUMMERS: Let's mark that for follow-up
- 5 after the deposition.
- 6 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 7 Q. You testified that as of the last analysis your
- 8 understanding is the estimated amount of the
- 9 termination payment that would be due is roughly three
- 10 hundred million dollars, is that correct?
- 11 A. Well, it clearly moves around as the interest rate
- 12 curve moves around. I think the most recent number is
- somewhere reaching 275 and 300 million dollars.
- 14 That's before the application of the applicable
- 15 discount that we had provided for in the termination
- 16 agreement.
- 17 Q. And that last analysis, when was that performed?
- 18 A. A few days ago.
- 19 Q. How does the City plan to get the cash necessary to
- 20 make the termination payment?
- 21 MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- 22 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 23 Q. Does the City have a plan at this point for how it
- will obtain the cash necessary to pay the termination
- 25 payment?

- 1 nondisclosure agreements?
- 2 A. That's the universe I'm discussing, approximately 303 or more.
- 4 Q. So, everybody you've talked to signed?
- 5 A. No, some people didn't want to participate. I can't
- 6 tell you how many we called. I can tell you how many
- 7 we sent NDAs to which have been returned to us, it's
- 8 in excess of 30.
- 9 Q. Are some of the people or some of the potential
- 10 sources of financing that Miller Buckfire have spoken
- 11 to said no, we're not interested?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And approximately how many have said no?
- 14 A. Hasn't been that many, maybe ten. Would your client
- 15 like one?
- 16 Q. And do you know who those ten entities are that have
- said they are not interested?
- 18 A. I do, yes.
- 19 Q. And who are they?
- 20 A. I'm not going to tell you that.
- 21 Q. On what basis?
- 22 A. It's commercially sensitive information.
- MR. CULLEN: Counsel, maybe it will help,
- 24 and I don't know whether you want this on the record
- or not, but the position we are going to take with

Page 70

- MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form,
- but you can address the question.
- 3 A. Yes, the City has a plan.
- **BY MR. SUMMERS:**
- 5 Q. And what is that plan?
- 6 A. The City intends to secure a debtor in possession
- 7 financing of sufficient proceeds to fund the
- 8 termination payment as well as provide sufficient cash
- 9 for the City to execute on its reinvestment program
- during the bankruptcy.
- 11 Q. And what is -- what actions, if any, has the City
- 12 taken toward obtaining debtor in possession financing?
- 13 A. We have contacted a large universe of potentially
- interested investors, many of whom have signed
- 15 nondisclosure agreements, NDAs, pursuant to which they
- 16 have received the request for proposal, the RFP which
- 17 went out yesterday.
- 18 Q. And is Miller Buckfire leading the effort to obtain
- 19 debtor in possession financing?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when you say a large universe of potential
- investors, do you know approximately how many have
- 23 been talked to?
- 24 A. At the moment it's in excess of 30.
- 25 Q. And how many have -- how many have signed

- 1 respect to this is that this is a competitive process
- 2 and the best result in that process is achieved by us
- 3 being able to negotiate with the individual parties
- 4 who are out there, and not to litigate the negotiating
- 5 strategy before we have something to bring back to the
- 6 court to approve.

7

17

- So, we're not going to answer questions
- about individual parties, we're not going to answer
- guestions about the strategy of negotiating with those
- parties and we're not at liberty to give out the
- information with respect to the people who responded
- to the NDAs because they understandably don't want to
- be shopped, don't want to take up a lot of your time.
- We can fight this through a lot of objections and so
- forth, and if we want to fight about that at some
- 16 later time, perfectly fine.
  - You can ask about his general strategy on
- this, you can ask about the basis for his confidence
- or nonconfidence in it. You can go through those
- general items, but the actual strategy, the terms of
- arrangements with individual parties I'm not going to
- have him go into now. Hopefully by the time we get to the hearing, we'll have an agreement that you will be
- 24 on a --
- 25 MR. SUMMERS: Let's go -- I think let's

Page 72

Page 2 of 6

Page 73

- go -- move through the questions and see how we do.
- MR. CULLEN: Okay.
- 3 MR. SUMMERS: I understand the City's
- 4 position on it.
- 5 **MR. CULLEN:** Okay.
- 6 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 7 Q. You said an RFP went out yesterday?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Approximately how many people was the RPF sent to
- 10 yesterday?
- 11 A. The 30 plus people who signed the NDA.
- 12 Q. How much debtor-in-possession financing does the City
- 13 hope to obtain?
- 14 A. Three hundred fifty million dollars, up to three
- 15 hundred fifty million dollars.
- 16 Q. And does the City have a goal on the interest rate?
- 17 A. The lowest possible interest rate.
- 18 Q. Does the RFP attempt to define what that lowest
- 19 possible interest rate is?
- 20 **A. No.**
- 21 Q. Does it define whether the interest rate needs to be
- 22 fixed or variable?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. What covenants, if any, are included in the RFP as
- being acceptable or not acceptable?

- 1 is submitting a proposal?
- 2 Q. Is the City offering art work as collateral?
- 3 A. I'm not going to discuss the terms of the term sheet,
- 4 sorry.
- 5 Q. Well, we kind of picked and choose what terms in the
- 6 RFP we are discussing and not discussing.
- 7 MR. CULLEN: We have in the attempt to
- 8 accommodate your desire for information and to
- 9 maintain control of the integrity of this process
- 10 which we believe is best negotiated as a negotiation
- 11 and not a litigation.
- MR. SUMMERS: I guess I struggle with
- understanding why the collateral that's offered in the
- 14 RPF that's been sent out when we know the interest
- rate, the amount of the financing the debtor seeks,
- why that puts the City at a competitive disadvantage.
- MR. CULLEN: We didn't say the interest rate.
- 19 MR. SUMMERS: The lowest possible.
  - MR. CULLEN: This is the beginning of a
- 21 negotiation. It's the beginning of a negotiation that
- isn't at an end yet, that hasn't had any response to
- the RFPs yet, it's an initial offer, and that's what
- 24 it is, and he's discussing it as such and willing to
- 25 testify about it as such, but I'm not going to read

Page 74

20

- 1 A. I'm not going to discuss that. It's commercially
- 2 sensitive.
- 3 Q. How long of maturity on the DIP financing is the City
- 4 looking to obtain?
- 5 A. Through the pendency of the end of the case.
- 6 Q. And is the City offering a lien on casino revenues in
- 7 connection with the DIP financing?
- 8 A. In part.
- 9 Q. I assume the City does not expect to obtain unsecured
- 10 financing?
- 11 A. I would take it if it was offered.
- 12 Q. No doubt. What other collateral is the City offering
- to secure the DIP financing loan?
- A. I'm not going to answer that question.
- 15 Q. Does the RFP define what collateral would be
- 16 available?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. And that's been sent out to potential investors?
- 19 A. Who have signed nondisclosure agreements.
- 20  $\,$  Q. If somebody new came and said I would be interested in
- 21 providing DIP financing, you would have them sign an
- 22 NDA and then provide them the RFP?
- 23 A. If they wanted to make an unsolicited proposal without
- the benefit of the RPF, we would be happy to accept
- 25 it. Are you suggesting your client is interested in

- 1 the terms of the RFP in the newspaper and our bidders
- 2 are not going to read the terms of the RFP in the
- 3 newspaper because that would hamper the process and
- 4 hamper our ability to get best value.
- 5 MR. SUMMERS: But we already have in the
- 6 record that the casino revenues are part of the
- 7 collateral that's being offered, so, what's wrong with
- 8 finding out what the rest of the collateral that's
- 9 being offered?
- MR. CULLEN: Not going to argue with you,
- 11 Counsel. I'm telling you what the position is. I've
- 12 tried to be accommodating. It's as far as I am going
- 13 to go.
- 14 BY MR. SUMMERS
- 15 Q. Has the City had discussions with the State of
- 16 Michigan about providing financing?
- 17 A. I'm not going to discuss that.
- 18 Q. What is the City's view about what has to happen in
- order to be able to obtain debtor-in-possession
- 20 financing -- let me put a finer point.
- 21 Are there certain events that the City
- believes has to happen in the case for it to be able
- 23 to realistically obtain debtor-in-possession
- 24 financing?
- 25 A. Yes, there are events in the case.

Page 76

Page 108

Page 105

- all of the gaming revenues until that claim has been
- fully satisfied. 2
- Now, simple math will tell you if we have 3
- 170 million of gaming revenues and we have a three 4
- hundred million dollar termination payment and we have 5
- 6 an implied interest rate on that termination payment
- it will probably take somewhere between two and three
- years to pay it off in full. 8
- 9 Q. That presumes that the lien held by the Swap
- counterparties against the casino revenues is a valid 10
- and enforceable lien, correct? 11
- 12 A. That's what the agreement specifies.
- 13 Q. Well --
- 14 A. The 2009 agreement specifies.
- 15 Q. Right, but --
- 16 A. That's the agreement the City is bound by if the
- forbearance agreement is not approved. 17
- 18 Q. Unless there's a litigation claim that exists that
- might invalidate the liens? 19
- 20 A. In which case who knows what the Swap counterparties
- 21 might do and what we might have access to in terms of
- gaming revenue. 22
- 23 Q. So, the legal analysis is important to informing --
- 24 A. Any risk the City is being asked to take that doesn't
- have access to gaming revenues is an unacceptable risk

- 1 A. Yes, by the end of October 2013.
- 2 Q. What is the City's intention with respect to analyzing
- the appraisal and making a determination as to the art
- 4 work once it receives the appraisal?
- 5 MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- 6 A. I can't even speculate as to what we'll do until we
- have some facts as to what value we're dealing with. 7
- 8 That's why they were retained.
- 9 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 10 Q. Has the City considered selling or leasing Belle Isle?
- 11 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 12 Q. Has the City looked into possible sources of funding
- from the State of Michigan?
- 14 A. I'm not going to discuss that.
- 15 Q. Has the City looked into possible sources of funding
- from the federal government?
- 17 A. I'm not going to discuss that either.
- 18 Q. On what basis?
- 19 A. Commercially sensitive information.
- MR. SUMMERS: I'm going to propose we take 20
- 21 maybe -- why don't we stop the tape for a minute.
- **VIDEO TECHNICIAN:** The time is 12:18 p.m. 22
- 23 we are off the record.
- (Recess taken at 12:18 p.m.) 24
- (Back on the record at 1:21 p.m.) 25

Page 106

1

- VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are back on the
- 2 record at 1:21 p.m. This marks the beginning of tape
- 3 number three.
- **EXAMINATION** 4
- 5 BY MR. HACKNEY:
- Q. Mr. Buckfire, good afternoon. My name is Steve
- Hackney. I'm an attorney at Kirkland & Ellis, and I
- represent Syncora Capital Assurance and Syncora
- 9 Guaranty. Nice to meet you.
- 10 A. Likewise.
- 11 Q. I think we had a brief conversation which you
- suggested there might have been something you'd like 12
- to correct with respect to a name from the morning's 13
- 14 testimony.
- 15 A. Yes, thank you, Mr. Hackney. I incorrectly identified
- the attorney from Cadwalader who was present at the 16
- June 4th meeting. His correct name is Larry 17
- Stromfeld, S T R O M F E L D. That's his correct name 18
- and that's who attended the meeting. 19
- 20 Q. If you think of any other corrections, don't hesitate
- to stop me and let me know and we'll give you an
- opportunity to make them. 22
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. So, I've been listening to your testimony. It's not
- my intention to re-ask you all the questions that were

- from the point of view of the City's ability to rehabilitate itself.
- 3 Q. Have you evaluated noncore assets as a source of funds
- for the City? 4
- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form. 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- BY MR. SUMMERS: 7
- Q. And what evaluation have you performed? 8
- 9 A. As we've identified in the June 14th plan we did
- identify for the benefit of the public and the 10
- creditors all potential noncore assets that might have 11
- value that could be used pursuant to the plan of 12
- adjustment. 13
- 14 Q. And on August 5th you announced the City had hired
- Christie's to appraise the collection at the Detroit
- Institute of Art, correct? 16
- 17 A. I didn't announce that.
- 18 Q. The City announced it.
- 19 A. The City announced it.
- 20 Q. That they hired Christie's, correct? Do you have an
- understanding of the approximate value of the City's 21
- art collection? 22
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you have an understanding as to when the City expects to receive the appraisal from Christie's?

would have demanded Syncora made good on its Swap

- insurance and let Syncora try and stick around and 2
- collect the casino revenues, correct? 3
- 4 MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- Calls for speculation. 5
- A. It wasn't an issue for the City.
- BY MR. HACKNEY:
- 8 Q. I'm asking whether you thought that was a possibility
- back at the time you were negotiating the forbearance
- agreement? 10
- 11 A. It wasn't an issue for the City. Had no impact on the
- 12 City's access to cash.
- 13 Q. But if Syncora was a party that might come in in lieu
- of the Swap counterparties, didn't you want to find
- out whether you might be able to cut a better deal 15
- with Syncora? 16
- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form. 17
- Calls for speculation. 18
- 19 A. I can't speculate to that.
- BY MR. HACKNEY: 20
- 21 Q. All you can say is that you never did, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And in fact between June 29th when you spoke to
- Mr. Snyder and today, there have never been 24
- substantive negotiations between the City and Syncora 25

- or do you mean casino revenues projecting into the
- future? 2
- 3 A. It's commercially sensitive so I'm going to decline to

Page 143

Page 144

- 4 answer it.
- MR. HACKNEY: Okay. I'll just reserve on 5
- that. I obviously don't think there's a bunch of 6
- value we have going back and forth. I understand your 7
- position about this. On some of the other ones, we 8
- 9 may come to those briefly and talk about it, but I get
- the DIP one. 10

## BY MR. HACKNEY:

- 12 Q. You agree that the goal of the forbearance agreement
- is to get the collateral agreement to terminate so 13
- that the City can get access to the casino revenues, 14
- 15 correct?

11

- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form. 16
- 17 A. That is one of the goals.
- BY MR. HACKNEY: 18
- 19 Q. That is one of the goals. And isn't it true that your
- current expectation is that you need the postpetition 20
- financing, the DIP loan to close in order to be able 21
- to exercise the option under the forbearance 22
- 23 agreement, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And there was testimony on that today because you

Page 142

- to your knowledge, isn't that correct?
- 2 A. Not on this, no.
- 3 Q. I wanted to clarify something that you said about the
- DIP earlier and it was mainly that -- you used the
- phrase I didn't understand with respect to the casino 5
- 6 revenues, you said -- you either said that the casino
- revenues would be a part of the collateral package or 7
- that part of the casino revenues would be in the 8
- collateral package, and I wanted to clarify that. MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form. 10
- I don't think he said either. 11
- 12 A. I didn't.

9

- BY MR. HACKNEY: 13
- 14 Q. Oh, okay. Well, I thought for sure you had said one
- of those two, but let me understand what you
- anticipate -- this is subject to counsel's concern, 16
- but I think there has been testimony about the casino 17
- revenues as part of the collateral package. 18
- 19 As the banker who is leading the DIP,
- what's your understanding of the role the casino 20
- revenues will play in the collateral package offered 21
- in connection with the DIP?
- 23 A. They will be part of the collateral package.
- Q. So, they will be part, and when you say they, do you
- mean a specific period of time of the casino revenues

- don't have the money otherwise, right, Mr. Buckfire?
- 2 A. That is part of the collateral package, yes.
- 3 Q. I'm talking about the use of proceeds of the DIP just
- so we're clear. Part of the use of proceeds of the
- DIP will be to exercise the option under the 5
- 6 forbearance agreement, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You understand that you won't have unfettered access
- 9 to the casino revenues until you exercise the option
- that leads to the termination of a Swap in the 10
- collateral agreement, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Isn't this a bit circular?
- 14 A. Regrettably.
- 15 Q. How did you factor that consideration into the
- determination as to whether to engage in the 16
- forbearance agreement? 17
- 18 A. Well, this is why the Swap collateral agreement is
- 19 such a problem for the City. Unless we can eliminate
- 20 the collateral and regain control over gaming revenues
- without risk of loss because of defaults that would 21
- 22 trap it, we need to rationalize and clean this up in
- order to put the City on a sound financial basis. 24 Q. So, there are two parts -- there are -- there may be
- many parts but two of the important parts of the

Bienenstock Court Reporting & Video (36) Pages 141 - 144 Min-U-Script® 13-53846-swr Doc 925-7248 Filed 99618/13-01 Entered 09/8/8/12/418/53:06 Page 5 of 6

23

1 City's plan is are the investments that Mr. Orr wants

- 2 to make, right?
- з A. Right.
- 4 Q. And the cost reductions he wants to make, right?
- 5 A. And the increase in staffing levels across services to
- 6 provide higher level services to the City.7 Q. But that's in the reinvestment, right?
- 8 A. No, it's actually hard to break out that way because a
- 9 lot of it is actually in the salaries line and the HR
- 10 lines
- So, you have to go back to the numbers and ask me a lot of those questions.
- 13 Q. The proposed investments that he wants to make, that
- 14 he proposes to make that I'm so ruthlessly omitting,
- they are in this document, right?
- 16 A. Not in this projection.
- 17 Q. They're not in this projection, but they are in this
- 18 proposal?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. He laid them all out in gory detail?
- 21 A. Yes, he did.
- 22 Q. He also lays out a number of cost cutting initiatives,
- 23 isn't that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, he does.
- 25 Q. And one of his goals is also to make the City more

1 Q. And why aren't you going to tell me about that?

Page 163

Page 164

- 2 A. It's commercially sensitive information.
- 3 Q. Why?
- 4 A. That's my answer.
- 5 Q. Well, I can understand why if you are seeking estate
- 6 guarantee of a DIP or other things today, I get that,
- 7 and I'm not going to ask you about that, but I am
- 8 going to say that I think I deserve an answer on what
- 9 happened prior to June 4 in terms of finding
- 10 alternative ways to address the City's liquidity
- 11 crisis because after all what's been presented to us
- was if we didn't do this deal, the City would die, and
- 13 I do think we are entitled to ask well, what had you
- 14 tried to do with other actors, so, can we get over it
- 15 or --
- MR. CULLEN: You could certainly ask if he
  had received any assurance of the availability of any
  other funding from any other source during that time
- 19 period.
- MR. HACKNEY: Well, I do appreciate that
- 21 but I often tend to ask my own questions. Let me
- 22 try and ask it in a way that hopefully serves your
- 23 concerns.
- 24 BY MR. HACKNEY:
- 25 Q. And let me first ask you, Mr. Buckfire, had your firm,

Page 162

1 you or your firm undertaken any analysis of this

- 2 question? You don't have to tell me what it was.
  - 3 Let's go in stages.
  - 4 Had you analyzed the problem?
  - 5 A. Yes, we did.
  - 6 Q. You had analyzed the problem. And is it your
  - 7 testimony that divulging the results of that analysis
  - 8 would be commercially sensitive?
  - 9 A. Yes.
  - 10 Q. Is part of the reason for that because of the way any
  - potential aid from the City or from the state or the
  - 12 feds might interplay with the DIP process, is it the
  - 13 way they knit up, is that the problem?
  - 14 A. Yes.

17

18

- 15 Q. All right.
- MR. HACKNEY: Let me suggest a short break.
  - I think that it may be time for me to pass the baton.
  - MR. CULLEN: Okay.
- 19 **VIDEO TECHNICIAN:** The time is 2:19 p.m.
- This marks the end of tape number three. We are off the record.
- (Recess taken at 2:19 p.m.)
- 23 (Back on the record at 2:30 p.m.)
- 24 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are back on the
- record at 2:30 p.m. This marks the beginning of tape

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. At the same time he also wants to make it operate
- 4 better, correct?

efficient, correct?

- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Those two things from a net operating standpoint work
- 7 in tension with one another, right?
- 8 A. They do over time, but you have to consider the9 timetable and when these things are done.
- 10 Q. I want to ask you a question about state and federal
- aid but I don't want to mix it up into the DIP which Iunderstand -- which I took to mean earlier was one of
- understand -- which I took to mean earlier was one o the sensitivities there. I want to go back to June 4,
- 14 2011.
- Prior to June 4, 2011 had you undertaken
- any effort to evaluate whether there was either state
- 17 aid or federal aid that you could use in lieu of
- 18 having to negotiate this deal with the Swap
- 19 counterparties?
- 20 A. We are assuming there is no aid available to the City.
- 21 Q. You were assuming that there was none, but had you
- undertaken an effort to determine whether there could
- be some?
- 24 A. I've already testified that I'm not going to discuss
- 25 **that.**