Exhibit 6B Excerpts of Deposition of Kevyn D. Orr

Kevyn Orr City of Detroit August 30, 2013

Page 201

1 Q. Your view of those legacy expenditures in the

bankruptcy is that they are unsecured claims, correct?

3 A. Yes. Many of them are, yes. There are some

- expenditures that are secured with regard to the water
- department and parking and some miscellaneous, but the
- roughly 11 and a half, 12 billion dollars that we put
- out there we view as unsecured.
- 8 Q. So let's go back to sourcing this termination payment.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It was my understanding of his testimony that
- Mr. Buckfire who, by the way, is the individual tasked
- with obtaining the City's post petition financing, 12
- correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And is presumably the individual that's most
- knowledgeable about that effort?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It was -- I'll represent to you that his testimony was
- that the proceeds for the optional termination payment 19
- would likely come from the post -- the proceeds of the 20
- post petition financing? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. JURGENS: Objection to form.
- BY MR. HACKNEY: 24
- 25 Q. Is that also your understanding?

- 1 Q. Okay. So I think there -- if I'm not mistaken, your
- father was an amen minister.
- 3 A. Great grandfather, grandfather and father.
- 4 Q. So maybe --
- 5 A. Yeah, took me back to -- over in the corner with the
- deacons, yeah, took me back.
- 7 Q. Okay. I won't compare myself to your father,
- grandfather and great grandfather, but I can aspire.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. So I do want to talk about -- this is important.
- Okay. This is -- isn't it true that one aspect of the 11
- 12 DIP -- I'm not going to get into the others -- is that
- the casino revenues will be pledged or anticipated to 13
- be pledged as collateral for the post petition 14
- 15 financing?
- 16 A. Let me say this. That is certainly under
- consideration. 17
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, isn't it also true, though, that the
- casino revenues have not currently been freed up on a 19
- permanent basis because the City has not currently 20
- 21 exercised the option, correct?
- 22 A. The certainty that we hope to get out of the
- forbearance agreement has not been approved yet,
- correct. 24
- 25 Q. Well, even if it is approved by the Court, you still

Page 202

Page 204

Page 203

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Now, isn't it also true that the City hopes to
- pledge the casino revenues as part of the collateral
- package for the post petition financing?
- MR. SHUMAKER: I'm going to object here. 5
- 6 We're getting into an area where it is incredibly
- commercially sensitive as to what sort of post 7
- petition financing that the City is seeking. 8
- 9 MR. HACKNEY: Let me not be rude. I will
- tell you I'm just going to ask him questions that 10
- Buckfire asked vesterday -- answered. So I'm not 11
- going to try and play the whole thing, but there were 12
- absolutely areas where Buckfire answered. I think 13
- there were a lot of other people in the room that were 14
- there. I think any of your colleagues --15
- MR. SHUMAKER: Okay, that's fine. 16
- MR. HACKNEY: Any of your colleagues. 17
- 18 MR. SHUMAKER: I just want to caution you.
- MR. HACKNEY: I understand. I understand 19
- 20 the sensitivity. There were absolutely areas, though,
- that Buckfire talked about. This was one of them. I 21
- mean can I get an Amen or --22
- 23 (Consensus Amen.)
- 24 A. Okay.
- BY MR. HACKNEY: 25

- 1 won't have exercised the option.
- 2 A. That is true with regard to the optional termination
- payment.
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you need to exercise the option to terminate the
- hedge, right?
- 8 A. Yes.

12

- 9 Q. You need to terminate the hedge to terminate the
- collateral agreement.
- 11 A. I think that's --
 - MR. SHUMAKER: Object to form, to the
- extent calls for a legal conclusion. 13
- 14 A. Yeah, without getting into legal conclusions --
- COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. This is --15
- BY MR. HACKNEY: 16
- 17 Q. You think it's a fair characterization that you need
- to get the hedge terminated to get the collateral
- agreement terminated?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And the good part for the City, if those things
- 22 happen, is that now you have unchanneled access to the
- 23 casino revenues going into the future?
- 24 A. Yes, as we've said today, that certainty is one of the
- motivations to enter into the agreement.

Kevyn Orr
City of Detroit August 30, 2013

Page 205

1 Q. But do you also understand that you can't currently

- 2 pledge the casino revenues to a post petition lender
- 3 in a -- prior to having exercised the option under the
- 4 forbearance agreement?
- 5 A. Well, let's be careful without drawing legal
- 6 conclusions. You can always enter into agreements
- 7 that have contingencies attached to them and the
- 8 parties will wait for those contingencies to occur.
- 9 That certainly has happened with a number of different
- negotiations, not just in this case, but happens all the time.
- 12 Q. That's fair that you absolutely -- you make a pledge
- that's contingent on something else. But isn't it
- 14 true that, as a general matter, post petition lenders
- typically like to make sure that they have clean
- 16 collateral before they make a loan that's secured by
- 17 that collateral?
- 18 MR. SHUMAKER: Objection, calls for
- 19 speculation.
- 20 A. I think that's generally a fair characterization;
- 21 however, there have been cases that I've been involved
- 22 with outside of this one where post petition lenders
- have been willing to make pledges or commitments
- 24 subject to certain contingencies.
- 25 BY MR. HACKNEY:

- 1 mislead you. It is my assumption that, while they're
- 2 commercially sensitive, that's not going to be
- 3 forthcoming.
- 4 Q. Oh, really?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So just to tie it up, you tried to get a -- whether
- 7 it's credit enhancement or liquidity from the State
- 8 and the Feds, and your expectation is that you won't
- 9 be able to?
- 10 A. My understanding at the State level is that there's
- 11 certain prohibitions of the State law on the ability
- of the State to lend to the City, and at the Federal
- 13 level my understanding is that it's not going to be
- 14 forthcoming, direct aid.
- 15 Q. Interesting. And what about credit enhancement by the
- 16 State?
- 17 A. Here again, it's highly commercially insensitive --
- 18 sensitive. I don't want to say anything that
- 19 forecloses it, but we -- let me answer it this way.
- 20 We are operating on the assumption that that will not
- 21 come -- be forthcoming.
- 22 Q. The casino revenues are about 170 million dollars a
- 23 year; isn't that correct?
- 24 A. Yeah, 170, 180 somewhere in there.
- 25 Q. Yeah. In fact, that -- it's interesting because the

Page 206

Page 208

Page 207

- 1 Q. Isn't it your expectation today, though -- is it -- is
- it your expectation today that any post petition
- 3 lender will want clear -- a clear lien on the casino
- 4 revenues before it's willing to lend? Is that your
- 5 current expectation?
- 6 A. Well, my current expectation is it might well want
- 7 clear lien before it's willing to fund. I would think
- 8 in many of the bankruptcy cases that I've been
- 9 involved in, post petition lenders, for instance, are
- 10 willing to make commitments subject to the Court
- approving their super priority liens, and then once
- that approval is granted, they fund the loan, so
- 13 that's fairly common.
- 14 Q. I'm going to confirm for the record that conversations
- 15 with the State of Michigan about providing DIP
- 16 financing or with the federal government about
- 17 providing DIP financing are still questions that you
- will refuse to answer on the grounds of commercial
- 19 sensitivity?
- 20 MR. SHUMAKER: I think you can ask Mr. Orr
- 21 those questions. I don't want to -- I don't want to
- 22 categorically exclude you from doing that.
- 23 BY MR. HACKNEY:
- 24 Q. Are they commercially sensitive?
- 25 A. They are commercially sensitive, but I don't want to

- 1 DIP proceeds you're seeking are up to 350; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Here again, those are commercially sensitive, but I
- 4 think that's fair. Yes, I think that's fair.
- 5 Q. Okay. And that's the equivalent of two years' worth
- 6 of casino revenues, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that's something that you think you may be
- 9 able to get without having to pledge a clear lien on
- 10 the casino revenues, right?
- 11 A. No. What I'm trying to say is you can certainly enter
- 12 into commitments. I'm drawing commitments different
- 13 from funding. You can certainly have a lender which
- 14 is quite common in bankruptcy cases to make a
- 15 commitment subject to approval of its security
- 16 interest or priorities to actually fund.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. So that can occur.
- 19 Q. So the fact that that can occur means that there can
- 20 be uncertainty in connection with the casino revenues
- 21 and it won't hamstring your DIP process, correct?
- 22 A. Yeah, it's not so much -- well, to a degree what
- 23 you're saying is correct. It's not so much
- uncertainty with casino revenues because that's math.
 It may be some uncertainty with regard to the ability